

5. How does the State Government view the Act? Has it led to a decline in the offences it covers? Has it been a vehicle of social change?	Does not arise in view of reply to point No. 4									
The Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act 1989										
1. Does the State Government conceive of offences of atrocities not set out in Section 3 of the Act? If so, please name them.	No.									
2. Please make out a statement for the period 1989-onwards showing year-wise nature and number of atrocities committed against STs, cases filed in courts, courts involved, punishment imposed, present status of the cases, further action proposed to be taken, remarks.	<table><tr><td colspan="3">Two cases were registered in Andaman district for the year from 1989 to 2003 (upto Feb.)</td></tr><tr><td>i)</td><td>Crime No. 112/97 dtd. 16/7/97 u/s 376 IPC r.w.s 3(XII) of SC & ST (prevention of Atrocities \ Act 1989 of PS Rangat.</td><td>After the completion of investigation of the cases, final report No.7 /98 dtd 3/10/98 a mistake of fact was submitted to the JMFC, Mayabunder which was been accepted by the Hon'ble Court of JMFC, Mayabunder on 4/1/2000.</td></tr><tr><td>ii)</td><td>Crime No. 822/02 dtd 17/8/02 u/s 451/354 IPC r.w.s 3(1)(XII) of ST & SC (prevention of Atrocities) Act 1989.</td><td>After finalising the investigation the case has been charge sheeted vide case No. 864/2002 dtd 2/9/02 which is pending trial in the Hon'ble Court of Sessions Judge, Port Blair (Special Court).</td></tr></table>	Two cases were registered in Andaman district for the year from 1989 to 2003 (upto Feb.)			i)	Crime No. 112/97 dtd. 16/7/97 u/s 376 IPC r.w.s 3(XII) of SC & ST (prevention of Atrocities \ Act 1989 of PS Rangat.	After the completion of investigation of the cases, final report No.7 /98 dtd 3/10/98 a mistake of fact was submitted to the JMFC, Mayabunder which was been accepted by the Hon'ble Court of JMFC, Mayabunder on 4/1/2000.	ii)	Crime No. 822/02 dtd 17/8/02 u/s 451/354 IPC r.w.s 3(1)(XII) of ST & SC (prevention of Atrocities) Act 1989.	After finalising the investigation the case has been charge sheeted vide case No. 864/2002 dtd 2/9/02 which is pending trial in the Hon'ble Court of Sessions Judge, Port Blair (Special Court).
Two cases were registered in Andaman district for the year from 1989 to 2003 (upto Feb.)										
i)	Crime No. 112/97 dtd. 16/7/97 u/s 376 IPC r.w.s 3(XII) of SC & ST (prevention of Atrocities \ Act 1989 of PS Rangat.	After the completion of investigation of the cases, final report No.7 /98 dtd 3/10/98 a mistake of fact was submitted to the JMFC, Mayabunder which was been accepted by the Hon'ble Court of JMFC, Mayabunder on 4/1/2000.								
ii)	Crime No. 822/02 dtd 17/8/02 u/s 451/354 IPC r.w.s 3(1)(XII) of ST & SC (prevention of Atrocities) Act 1989.	After finalising the investigation the case has been charge sheeted vide case No. 864/2002 dtd 2/9/02 which is pending trial in the Hon'ble Court of Sessions Judge, Port Blair (Special Court).								
3. Please set out cases under Section 4 wherein public servants willfully neglected duties required to be performed under the Act and were punished (indicate quantum)/not punished.	No Police Officers were found negligent in their duties in implementing the provision of the Act.									
4. Reference Section 9, have there been any occasions for conferment of powers of a police officer on a non-police officer of the State Govt.?	No.									
5. Please mention the manner in which Sections 10,11,12 and 13 relating to externment have been operated by the State Government.	No such incidents have emerged in A & N islands.									