

1982-83	3.602	1.289
1983-84	3.840	2.177
1984-85	7.440	1.160
1985-86	7.433	5.888
1986-87	18.56	4.522
1987-88	18.56	15.26
1988-89	17.06	10.76
1989-90	17.70	13.06
1990-91	21.20	15.33
1991-92	24.50	26.07
1992-93	36.00	30.64
1993-94	36.00	38.67
1994-95	37.20	36.37
1995-96	42.00	39.93
1996-97	47.74	37.47
1997-98	56.55	43.57
1998-99	55.13	51.82
1999-2000	64.45	52.13
2000-01	70.10	65.58
2001-02	57.13	60.85

15. Have the schemes funded from these departments been vetted by the State Tribal Department and modified in the light of the observations of the latter? Or, in the alternative, have the line departments carried on implementation of their schemes without such consultation?

The representative / Director of tribal welfare department also remains there while discussing the schemes pertaining to tribal welfare.

16. Describe the criteria adopted for allocation of state TSP funds to the different ITDPs / ITDAs in the state.

Not related with the forest department.

17. What have been physical achievement accruing from the outlays from the concerned departments? Please quantify in a statement, or statements covering the five Plan periods 1975 -2001.

A PHYSICAL ACHIEVEMENTS BY FOREST DEPARTMENT UNDER TSP.

VIth Plan (1980-85):

<i>Name of the Scheme</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>Unit</i>	<i>Total</i>
<i>Artificial and Natural Regeneration</i>	<i>i) Raising of Plantation</i>	<i>Hac</i>	<i>9</i>
	<i>ii) NRA</i>	<i>Hac</i>	<i>68</i>
<i>Development of Minor Forest Produce</i>	<i>Raising of Bamboo Plantation</i>	<i>Hac</i>	<i>48.5</i>
<i>Social Forestry</i>	<i>Raising of Coastal Plantation</i>	<i>Hac</i>	<i>7</i>

VIIth Plan (1985-90)