

which the claims have been rejected. It may also be stated that whether the Tribals were given opportunity to adduce evidence and, if yes, to what extent and whether reasoned orders have been passed regarding rejection of the claims.

It was submitted that at the present juncture there is likelihood of traditional Tribals being affected whose claims have been rejected. At the same time the question which is also of significance and which cannot be ignored and overlooked is that in the guise of and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers (OTFDs), the land is not occupied by mighty people, industrialists and other persons who are not belonging to the aforesaid category. Let the State Governments also point out the category wise details of such incumbents who have been occupying these areas belonging to Scheduled Tribe category and OTFD category and such persons who cannot be treated as Tribals. Let details be furnished in their affidavits to be filed by the Chief Secretaries. However, till we examine all aforesaid aspects, we keep our order dated 13.02.2019 on hold so far as eviction is concerned.

Let what kind of orders have been passed be placed on record. It was pointed out by Mr. Shyam Divan, learned Senior Counsel that the State Governments, subject to the decision of this Court on various aspects, should also place on record the course of action with respect to the claims which have not been found to be genuine, what they are going to ultimately undertake and the time frame.

In the meantime, the Forest Survey of India has to make a satellite survey and place on record the encroachment positions as far as possible in this Court before the next date of hearing as