

- 389)
- 6) The data provided regarding rejection cases should be segregated on the basis of ST and OTFD claims. Data regarding individual/community claims as well as claims for habitation/cultivation are also to be segregated.
 - 7) Information/data regarding cases of rejection submitted to the court should be about rejections which have taken place at the DLC. Since claims rejected by GS or SDLC can be appealed against to the next higher authority, these cannot be considered as rejections which have achieved finality.
 - 8) In view of the limited time available for filing affidavit before the Supreme Court, States may undertake Suo-moto review of rejected cases as a more efficient method of ensuring justice to genuine claimants rather than waiting for or expecting all claimants whose cases have been rejected to appeal a petition. This is especially relevant when rejection along with reasons thereof, have not been conveyed to the claimants, in writing. However, the timeline given by Hon'ble Supreme Court has to be adhered to.
 - 9) Cases where rejection would not lead to eviction need to be recorded separately and mentioned categorically. States are required to record claims where claims are made without actual occupation since there is no question of eviction of claimant who have no occupation on the claimed land on rejection of their claim. Rejected Claims on non-forest land is also not subject to eviction.
 - 10) FRA claimants who have been convicted under various illegal activities such as poaching, mining etc. are required to be excluded from review of claim. SC has categorically mentioned that high and mighty should not get undo advantage in garb of ST & OTFD. A format for cases worth eviction is required to be devised.
 - 11) Every State may take its own independent and considered view and they are free to differ and present their independent view before Hon'ble Supreme Court.

5. Apart from the meeting held on 06.03.2019; this Ministry also requested its Nodal Officers to visit their respective States in order to ensure timely compliance of the Hon'ble Court directions and directions issued by the MoTA in the said meeting. 10 such States including Andhra Pradesh, Assam, Chhattisgarh, Maharashtra, Manipur, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Kerala, Rajasthan, Telangana were visited. The summary of the discussion held during State visit are given below: