

by Office of of Advocate	Serial No.	Date	Office notes, reports, orders or proceedings with signatures
			<p>Mr.Ray, appearing with Mr.M.A.Rehamn as learned counsel for the State Transport Authority, submits that subsequent change in the stand as revealed from the minutes dated 29.03.2010, which has been referred to as above, leaves no scope for further controversy. He, thus, submits that the embargo which was imposed, has thus been <u>withdrawn and this should leave the petitioner with no scope for further grievance.</u> Mr.Mandal, appearing as learned counsel for the respondent Nos.5 and 7, submits that under section 115 of the MY Act, the Deputy Commissioner can -very well pass an <u>appropriate order.</u></p> <p>The question is whether the embargo earlier imposed was done in accordance with law and by the <u>statutory authority or not.</u> After taking into consideration the submission made by the learned counsel for the parties and having regard to specific stand taken by the respondent Transport Authority, as reflected from the affidavit in opposition, the earlier embargo can not stand the test of judicial scrutiny. In fact, that having been virtually been withdrawn, there may be no reason for the petitioner for not using the said permit in accordance with law</p>

