their easte or tribes/ tribal Community is not specified in constitution (A & N Islands) Scheduled Tribes Order,1959 vide GSR No. 504 dt. 31.03.1959. Please refer my application referred in above Sl No. 1.

3.

Indicate the writing reason for not filling up the reserved posts of scheduled castes in promoti0on from feeder cadre to promotion quota of various posts like, Group 'D' Group 'C' Group 'B' and Group 'A' in the various deportment of A & N administration.

No Government servant has been appointed in the department under schedule caste quota. Hence question of promotion of schedule caste dose not arise.

Grounds for the First Appeal:

The appellant was received an unsatisfactory reply from the PIO.

Order of the First Appellate Authority (FAA):

In order to dispose of the appeal, the records of the case like RTI application, reply furnished by the P10/Assistant Secretary (AR), the appeal application of the appellant and the relevant portion of the RTI Act have been gone through and it is observed that the information sought by the appellant in her application dated 14.03.2011 is not held by the PIO/Assistant Secretary (AR) which is in fact scattered with more than one other public authorities. Therefore the PIO/Assistant Secretary (AR) explained that he had already transferred the RTI application dated 14.03.2011 to other public authorities concerned under intimation to the appellant to her given address vide letter No. 3-38/201 0-AR(PF)/59 dated 01.04.2011. He further mentioned that in response to Admn's letter dated 01.04.2011, the other public authorities i.e. the Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Welfare has furnished reply in respect of item 3 of annexure to RTI application date 14.032011 to the appellant to her given address. Similarly the Assistant Director (Admn) of APWD also furnished reply to item 2 annexure to RTI application dated 14.03 .201 to the appellant. However the reply to item 1, shall be furnished by Deputy Commissioner, South Andaman.

In view of the above I am of the considered opinion the appeal is left now without any substance and the same is therefore dismissed. However, if the appellant still feels aggrieved on any point ,she may seek a personnel hearing from The undersigned.

Ground of the Second Appeal:

The applicant is not satisfied with the PIO reply and unsatisfactory order was passed by the First Appellate Authority.

Relevant Facts emerging during Hearing:

The following were present

Appellant: Smt. Chitta Kumari on video conference from NIC-Port Blair Studio;

Respondent: Mr. Yogesh Pratap, Public Information Officer & ADM; Mr. Hussain Ali, PIO & Assistant Director (Admin); Mr. B. Krishnan, Assistant Secretary (AR) & PIO on video conference from NIC-Port Blair Studio:

The information regarding query-1 has not been provided since the officials in the Administration appears to be completely incompetent to understand where this information would be. The Commission therefore directs the PIO of the Chief Secretary's Office to provide the information on the Action on the Appellant Complaint of 01/12/2010 in the following format:

Name and designation of Action taken Date on which forwarded to Date on which Next officer/office. The officer receiving it. Complaint received

*there will be as many rows as the number of officers who handled the complaint.

Attested photocopies of all letters and notings will be provided.

Page 3 of 4