SUPREME COURT CASES

(2006) 3 SCC

13. In the case of Valsamma Paul v. Cochin University's this Court again examined the entire gamut and came to the conclusion that the condition precedent for acquiring Scheduled Tribes certificate one must suffer the disabilities—socially, economically and educationally. The facts of that case are important and may be recited in a nutshell. Two posts of Lecturers in Law Department of Cochin University were notified for recruitment, one of which was reserved for Latin Catholics (Backward Class Fishermen). The appellant was a Syrian Catholic (a Forward Class). She married a Latin Catholic (Backward Class Fishermen) and had applied for selection as a reserved b candidate. The University selected her on that basis and accordingly appointed her against the reserved post. Her appointment was questioned by another candidate by filing a writ petition praying for a direction to the University to appoint the petitioner in place of the appellant. The learned Style mage allowed the writ petition. On appeal being filed before the he we concerning the important question of law a reference was c ande in the Full Bench. The Full Bench held that though the appearan manne according to the Canon Law, the appellant being a Syrian Catholic by bath (Forward Class), by marriage with the Latin Catholic (Bic) word Class Fishermen) is not member of that class not can she claim the status as a Backward Class by marriage. On an appeal being preferred before this Court against the decision of the Full Bench this Court after referring to various d decisions of this Court upheld the judgment of the Full Bench. This Court held in paras 35 and 34 as under: (SCC pp. 565-66)

"33. However, the question is. Whether a lady marrying a Scheduled Caste. Scheduled Tribe or OBC citizen, or one transplanted by adoption any other voluntary act, ipso facto, becomes entitled to claim reservation under Article 15(4) or 16(4), as the case may be? It is seen e Delits and Tribes suffered social and economic disabilities_ recognised by Articles 17 and 15(2). Consequently, they became socially, and educationally backward, the OBCs also suffered social and discational backwardness. The object of reservation is to remove these property disadvantages sufferings and restrictions to which the Asserts of the Dalits or Tribes of OBCs were subjected and was sought to having them in the mainstream of the nation's life by providing them

- In Moriidhar Dayandeo Kesekar v. Vishwanath Pandu Barde⁶ emportunities and facilities. Chandevarappa v State of Karnataka? this Court had held that was enactivement is a fundamental right to the poor and the State much under Articles 18(3) 46 and 39 to provide them opportunities ediction, emoloyment and economic empowerment are some of regranmes the State has evolved and also provided reservation in design into educational institutions, or in case of other economic benefits under Articles 15(4) and 46, or in appointment to an office or a post under the State under Article 16(4). Therefore, when a member is transplanted into the Dalits. Tribes and OBCs, he/she must of necessity also have had undergone the same handicaps, and must have been subjected to the same disabilities, disadvantages, indignities or sufferings so as to entitle the candidate to avail the facility of reservation. A cancidate who had the advantageous start in life being born in Forward Caste and had march of advantageous life-but is transplanted in Backward Caste by adoption or marriage of conversion, does not become eligible to the benefit of reservation either under Article 15(4) of 16(4) as the case may be. Acquisition of the status of Scheduled Caste, etc. 5 voluntary mobility into these categories would play fraud on the Constitution, and would frustrate the benign constitutional policy under Anicles 15(4) and 16(4) of the Constitution."

14 (In view of the catena of decisions of this Court, the question before is are no-more res integra. The condition precedent for granting certificate being that one must surfer disabilities a terefrom one before: offshoots of the wedlock of a tribal woman married to a non-tribal had Forward Class Hayasiha in the present case) cannot claim Scheduled Tribe status. The reason being such offshoot was prought up in the atmi-Forward Class and he is not subjected to any disability.) A person in belonging to the Scheduled Castes or Schedeled Tribes claiming himself a be a member of such caste by procuring a bogus caste certificate is a freeze under the Constitution of India. The impact of procuring fake/bogus custe pertificate and obtaining appointment/admission from the reserved Cultural have far-reaching grave consequences. A meritorious reserved canal be deprived of reserved category for whom the post is reserved. The reserved post will go into the hands of non-deserving candidate and in such takes would be violative of the mandate of Articles 14 and 21 of the Consector of 15. The Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe certificate is not 4.5.

to be distributed. To sustain the claim, one must show that hersberg. disabilities—socially, economically and educationally cumulative authority concerned, before whom such claim is made, is dury consatisfy itself that the applicant suffered essabilities socially, econoedicationally before such certificate is issued. Any authority const issuing such certificates in a routing manner would be compared Berriet on of constitutional duty.) 16. in the result, there is no ment in this appeal and it des

e d. asseed with costs. The tribe certificate dated 7-8-1992 progravity d by mistrepresentation of the facts is quasihed and set as: ्रात्री ः हीड्मा ५५०व स्ट्रांस २०५१६