4. (1995) 6 SCC 309, R. Chandevarappa v. State of Kambiaka 5. (1995) 4 SCC 32 > 1995 SCC (L&S) 914 : (1995) 30 ATC 166, Director of

Tetbal Welfare, Govt. of A.P. v. Loveti Giri 263f

6. 1995 Supp (2) SCC 549, Murlidhar Dayandea Kesekar v. Vishvonath Pondu Barde

264*f-g* 

 (1994) 6 SCC 241 1994 SCC (L&S) 1349 : (1994) 28 ATC 259, Kumari Kledhusk Pasil v. Addl. Convar., Tribal Development

263€

264g

The Judgment of the Court was delivered by

H.K. SEMA. J. The appellant Shri Anjan Kumar is the offshoot of the wedlock between Shir Lakshmi Kant Sahay, District Gaya in the State of Bihar and Smt Angola Tigga who belongs to Scheduled Tribe community of Oraon Tribe, Village Pondi Potkona, Distt Division Raigarh, State of Madhya Pradesh. By an order dated 7-8-1992 Scheduled Tribe certificate was issued to the appellant by SDM, Gaya on the ground that the mother of the appellant Sint Angela Tigga belongs to Oraon Tribe which is recognised as a Scheduled Tribe in the State of Madhya Pradesh. The appellant appeared for C the Civil Service Examination in 1991 conducted by the Union Public Service Commission claiming himself to be a Scheduled Tribe candidate. In the said examination he had passed the written test but could not qualify in the interview. He again appeared in the Civil Service Examination conducted by the Union Public Service Commission in the year 1992 and passed the written examination. In 1993 he was called for interview. The result of the d successful candidates was published and he stood at 759th rank in order of ment. He was also allotted Indian Information Service Grade A. However, the appellant did not receive any final posting order, which had resulted in filing many representations to the Union of India. In one of the representations dated 14-9-1994 the appellant also stated that he belongs to Scheduled Tribe category and his sub-caste is Oraon.

2. Having failed to receive any positive response from the respondents. he filed an original application before the Central Administrative Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi being OA No. 2291 of 1994, inter alia, seeking direction to the Union of India to allow the appellant to join training. In response to the notice assued by the Tribunal, the Union of India, by its letter dated 9-11-1994, converted to the Tribunal that the appellant has not been f brought up in tribai anvironment and that his father is a non-tribal and, therefore, he cannot be created as a Scheduled Tribe. Further, the Union of ladia, as directed by in Tribunal, conducted the enquiry into the question whether the appellant belongs to Scheduled Tribe community and the enquiry was conducted by the additional District Collector, Jaispurnagar, District Raigarh, Madhya Pradesh and the report was submitted on 26-6-1995. The enquiry report obvious! was against the appellant. After examining the #\sqrt{q} enquiry report submitted aforestated, the Tribunal ultimately dismissed the Onginal Application See 2201 of 1994 by order dated 12-12-1995 Aggrieved thereby the sea when fited a wait position being CWP No. 547 of 1907 befor the High Junt of Madhya Pradesh at Jabaipur inte, aha challesting the cooper support submitted by the enquiry offices on the allegation of sighting in the principles of natural justice casting a as no or attack to be a subsect attack to the appointed that trapped

Single Judge of the High Court of Puring the records and the enquiry report, submitted by the enquiry officer. Smissed the writ petition by order dated 22-1-1999. The appellant thereafter carried an unsuccessful appeal before the Division Bench in LPA No. 138 of 1999, which was dismissed by the LPA Bench on 3-12-1999. Hence, the present appeal by special leave.

3. We have heard the parties at length.

4. The sole question which calls for determination in this appeal is, as to whether the offshoot of the tribal woman manied to non-tribal husband could claim status of Scheduled Tribe and on the basis of which the Scheduled Tribe certificate could be given.

5. It is contended by Mr M.N. Krishnamani, Jearned Senior Counsel that the enquiry officer conducted the enquiry behind the back of the appellant and therefore, the learned Single Judge as well as the Division Bench erred in law dismissing the petition/appeal by placing reliance on the endury report and the material collected during the course of the enquiry. He further contended that the marriage of mother of the appellant (Scheduled Tribe) and the father of the appellant (Kayasiha) has been approved and accepted by the community of the village and the appellant has been transplanted into the Tribal community and therefore, he was entitled to the Scheduled Tribe certificate which was correctly granted. In this connection, he has referred to a circular dated 4-3-1975 issued by the Government of India, Ministry of Home Affairs on the subject "Status of children belonging to the couple one of whom belongs to Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes". He particularly referred to the portion when a Scheduled Tribe woman marries a non-Scheduled Tribe man, the children from such marriage may be treated as members of the Scheduled Tribe community, if the marriage is accepted by the community and the children are treated as members of their own community. Such circulars issued from time to time, being not law within the meaning of Article 13 of the Constitution, it would be of no assistance to the appellant on the face of the constitutional provisions. Further, the facts of this case are however different with the facts in which the circular was sought to

6. Undisputedly, the marriage of the appellant's mother (tribal woman) to one Lakshmi Kant Sahay (i.ayastha) was a court marriage performed outside the village. Ordinarily, the sourt marriage is performed when either of the parents of bride or bridegroom or the community of the village objects to such marriage. In such a siduation, the bride or the oridegroom suffers the wrath of the community of the village and runs the orsk of being ostracised or excommunicated from the village community. Therefore, there is no question of such marriage being ascepted by the village community. The situation will, however, stand on different footing in a case where a tribal man marries a non-tribal woman iforward Class) then the offshoots of such wedlock would obviously attain did folded the as independent of them, observed all rituals, to a Forward Class) canno suborstacially attain the artists of tribal unless she has been accepted by the community as one of them, observed all rituals, customs and ordiners which we been practised on the tribals from time immemorial and a report for the romunity of the chage as a member of

the property of A With ordinarily has a leader and may have a common. A

-(01