37

"The impugned constitutional amendments by which Article 16(4A) and 16(4B) have been inserted flow from Article 16(4). They do not alter the structure of Article 16(4). They retain the controlling factors or the compelling reasons, namely, backwardness and inadequacy of representation which enables the States to provide for reservation keeping in mind the overall efficiency of the State Administration under Article 335. These impugned amendments are confined only to SCs and STs. They do no obliterate any of the constitutional requirements, namely, ceiling-limit of 50% (quantitative limitation), the concept of creamy layer (qualitative exclusion), the sub-classification between OBC on one hand and SCs and STs on the other hand as held in Indra Sawhney, the concept of post- based Roster with in-built concept of replacement as held in R.K. Sabharwal.

"We reiterate that the ceiling limit of 50%, the concept of creamy layer and the compelling reasons, namely, backwardness, inadequacy of representation and overall administrative efficiency are all constitutional requirements without which the structure of equality of opportunity in Article 16 would collapse.

"However, in this case, as stated, the main issue concerns the "extent of reservation". In this regard the concerned State will have to show in each case the existence of the compelling reasons, namely, backwardness, inadequacy of representation and overall administrative efficiency before making provision for reservation. As stated above, the impugned provision is an enabling provision. The State is not bound to make reservation for SC/ST in matter of promotions. However if they wish to exercise their discretion and make such provision, the State has to collect quantifiable data showing backwardness of the class and inadequacy of representation of that class in public employment in addition to compliance of Article 335. It is made clear that even if the State has compelling reasons, as stated above, the State will have to see that its reservation provision does not lead o excessiveness so as to breach the ceiling limit of 50% or oblite are the creamy layer or extend the reservation indefinitely.

"Subject to above, we uphold the constitutional valuity of the Constitution (Seventy - Seventh Amendment) Art, 1935, the Constitution (Eighty First Amendment) Act, 2000 and the Constitution (Eighty Second Amendment) Act, 2000 and the Constitution (Eighty Fifth Amendment) Act, 2001".