

Stm 31/11

(174)

Parliament Question  
By Fax

No.1-360/2002-TW (PQ)/ 1299  
**ANDAMAN AND NICOBAR ADMINISTRATION**  
**DIRECTORATE OF TRIBAL WELFARE**  
Secretariat Complex, Port Blair – 744101  
email: [directortw@yahoo.com](mailto:directortw@yahoo.com)  
Ph./Fax – 03192-231639

\*\*\*\*\*

Dated the 29<sup>th</sup> December, 2003

To

Shri S.B.Doval,  
Under Secretary (Plg. Cell),  
Govt. of India, Ministry of Home Affairs,  
North Block, New Delhi- 110001  
(Fax : 011-23093730)

**Sub: Lok Sabha Starred Question Dy No.11616 about reservation of OBCs raised by Shri Bal Krishna Chauhan for reply on 08-04-2003 – reg.**

Sir,

I am directed to refer to your letter No.16012/4/2003-plg. Cell dated 14<sup>th</sup> May, 2003 addressed to to Chief Secretary, A&N Administration regarding the subject mentioned above and to inform that a reply to above LSSQ has already been sent to Shri P.K.Jalali, Joint Secretary, Govt. of India, Ministry of Home Affairs, New Delhi vide Administration's Fax Message No. 1-360/2002/TW (PQ) dated 13/12/2003. However, the information in respect of each part of the question is furnished as under:-

Sl.No.	Question	Proposed answer
(a)	The details of total number of employees of other backward classes working in A,B,C,D groups in the department and undertakings under his Ministry, category-wise;	'Nil' as no OBCs have been notified in respect of this U.T. However, a Permanent body namely – A&N Commission for OBC constituted by the Administration has identified five communities as OBCs and recommended for their classification as OBCs in its report submitted to the Administration on 19.7.2001. The report is under active consideration of the administration.
(b)	The percentage of reservation for other backward classes in Union Govt. services;	Does not arise in view of reply at (a) above.
(c)	Whether the quota for other backward classes in the departments and undertakings under his Ministry has been filled in toto;	Does not arise in view of reply at (a) above.
(d)	If not, reasons therefore;	Does not arise in view of reply at (a) above.