

165

the learned Division Bench of the ~~learned~~ C.A.T. observed as follows:-

" During the hearing, we have further been told that the S.L.P. has since been rejected by the Hon'ble Supreme Court with the observation that Union of India should file an appeal against the order of the learned Single Judge of the Calcutta High Court before the Division Bench of the High Court and that such appeal before the Division Bench is still pending. "

The said learned Bench of the Central Administrative Tribunal directed that the petitioner's ad-hoc appointment shall not be disturbed except on the ground of unsatisfactory conduct and as a corollary to this, the recruitment process initiated through the impugned Press Note being Annexure-F shall be kept in abeyance till the regular appointment is made on the recommendation of the Union Public Service Commission " after the final outcome of the appeal on the Scheduled Caste issue is known ". It is not necessary to consider ~~about~~ the case of the intervenor, Sonamuthu because he has not prayed for nor can be given any relief in this Judgement, although the ratio of this Judgement may be the basis upon which his case may be re-agitated before the said Tribunal by him. ~~xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~

Be it however recorded in this connection, that it is not quite understood how Public employment in the Union territory of A & N Islands can be equated against Central Govt. employment, for under Part VIII of the Constitution, the President administers the Union Territories apparently as the President of India but really as the Governor of a State, or as the Executive Head of the Union Territory and not as the Head of the Central Govt. and that being so, it strikes strange how Govt. employment in the Union territory can be

..8..