

ption does not  
Section 2(xvii)  
the respondents  
not pressed.

ort Exhibit 12 as  
as contained in  
stance found was  
was identified as  
The said  
the substance  
as defined under  
S Act.  
pellant stated that  
meable and they  
fore this Court to  
therefore, does not  
This appeal is,  
pressed.

48  
Appellant  
Respondents

OF OFFENDERS  
convicted by the  
undergo rigorous  
der Section 304B  
on — High Court  
and acquitted the  
the appeals He'd,  
the evidence on

49 and 221 of 1994  
07. The High Court  
and order allowed  
ned the respondents

of all the charges levelled against them. Earlier, the appellants had been convicted by the trial court under Sections 498A and 304B IPC and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for two years under Section 498A and 7 years under Section 304B IPC. However, the appellants in Criminal Appeal No. 221/1994 who are respondent 3 and 4 before us were released on probation under Section 4 of the Probation of Offenders Act. As earlier noticed, the High Court by its impugned judgment and order has acquitted all of them of the charges levelled against them.

3. We have heard counsel for the parties and we have also perused the records placed before us. We find ourselves in agreement with the High Court that so far as the allegations relating to the offence under Section 498A is concerned, the prosecution

has not been able to establish its case against the respondents. The High Court has considered the evidence on record and we find no reason to interfere with the finding of fact recorded by the High Court. So far as the offence under Section 304B is concerned, there is no evidence to suggest that soon before the occurrence the deceased was subjected to torture and harassment. In the absence of any such evidence, conviction under Section 304B cannot be sustained. Even the medical evidence on record is rather ambiguous.

4. We are, therefore, of the considered opinion that the High Court has recorded the order of acquittal based on the evidence on record and on proper appreciation of such evidence. We, therefore, find no merit in the appeals and the same are accordingly dismissed.

49  
Appellants

Respondents

2005(2) SCALE  
S. PUSHPA AND OTHERS

VS

SIVACHANMUGAVELU AND OTHERS

CORAM: R.C. LAHOTI, C.J., K.G. BALAKRISHNAN AND G.P. MATHUR, JJ.  
SERVICES — RESERVATIONS — CONSTITUTION — ARTICLE 239, 239A, 241 & 341 — GOVERNMENT OF UNION TERRITORIES ACT, 1963 — SECTION 50 — CONSTITUTION (PONDICHERY) SCHEDULED CASTES ORDER, 1964 — GENERAL CLAUSES ACT, 1897 — SECTION 3(8) — PONDICHERY (ADMINISTRATION) ACT, 1962 — SECTION 3 — Selection made of migrant Scheduled Caste candidates against the quota reserved for Scheduled Castes on post of Selection Grade Teachers in Union Territory of Pondicherry — Validity — Advertisement issued for recruitment of 350 General Central Service Group 'C' posts of Secondary Grade Teachers — 56 posts were reserved for Scheduled Caste candidates — Employment exchange sponsored some names of SC candidates from neighbouring employment exchange as sufficient number of SC candidates were not available in Union Territory Pondicherry — After holding interview a final selection list was prepared — Out 55 finally selected SC candidates, 29 candidates had produced community certificate