

disputed and the writ petitioner is put to the strict proof thereof in this regard. In this regard it is further submitted that in terms of the documents enclosed by the writ petitioner it appears that the lands acquired by the writ petitioner are all agricultural land and in terms of the provisions as contained in the Andaman & Nicobar Islands Land Revenue and Land Reforms Regulations 1966 no commercial activity should be undertaken on agricultural land. The details and classification of land acquired by the writ petitioner is as under:

Sl.No.	Survey No.	Area	Classification
1.	108	2.00 Hects	Plantation
2.	105	2.00 Hects	Plantation
3.	107	2.00 Hects	Plantation
4.	106	1.20 Hects	Plantation
5.	118/1	1.40 Hects	Hilly Land
6.	118/2	0.60 Hects	Commercial

That further land bearing survey No. 118/1 and 118/2 has been acquired by the writ petitioner by virtue of a unregistered lease deed and thus the petitioner does not have any right and or interest to undertake any activity on land bearing survey No. 118/1, and 118/2 since both the aforesaid lands stands recorded in favour of Shri. Binod Singh and the aforesaid plots of land has not been acquired by the petitioner in accordance with the provisions and or procedure of law for the specific reason that in terms of the law an unregistered lease deed with respect to a land has no force in the eye of law.

16. That the contents of para 5 is admitted to the extent as are matters of record and the rest of it is denied and disputed and the writ petitioner is put to the strict proof thereof in this regard. In this regard it is further submitted that at the very initiation of the construction work by the writ petitioner the order impugned was passed by the Tehsildar, i.e. the respondent no. 4 and as