

- k. For that much discomfort and physical and mental agony has already been caused to the petitioner by the respondents.
23. That the petitioner states that all the records pertaining to the issue are available at the offices of the Respondents.
24. That the petitioner states that there is no other alternative remedy other than this writ petition is available to him.
25. That by the impugned orders dated 16th June 2010, 24th June 2010 and 30th June 2010; the authorities have decided to initiate the inquiry again and fixed the hearing on 10th July 2010. The impugned order is liable to be stayed immediately otherwise the Petitioner will suffer from irreparable loss and injury which cannot be compensated at the later stage. The authorities will make the petitioner to suffer again unnecessarily without any reason.
26. That the Petitioner has a prima facie case because it is clear from the records that the Petitioner has already face the trial and he cannot be asked to face it again.
27. That the balance of the convenience and in convenience is in favour of the Petitioner.
28. That the petitioner states that this petition is made in absolute good faith and for the bonafide purpose.