

M.10

Noting by Office of or Advocate	Serial No.	Date	Office notes, reports, orders or proceedings with signatures
			Auditoria de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la companya del la companya de la companya
			WP No.1151 of 2010
		8 1	(Govind Ram -vs- The Union of India and others)
		à	Mrs.Anjili Nag for the petitioner Mr.S.K.Mandal for the respondent Nos.1-3 Mr.N.A.Khan for the respondent Nos.4-7
9 00 30	Janua	ry 14, 201:	
		w	8
		-	The petitioner herein has challenged the
		=	validity and/or legality of the order dated 16 th June,
		a	2010 passed by Smt.B.Bhamati, the Additional
			Secretary, who claimed herself as disciplinary
			authority of the petitioner and in exercise of the
			powers conferred under sub-rule (2) of sub-rule (22)
	, .		of Rule 14 of the Central Civil Services(Classification
))) (4			Control and Appeal) Rules, 1965 (hereinafte
			referred to as 'CCS(CCA) Rules, 1965) appointed
			another Inquiring Authority to inquire into the
Service Control			charges framed against the petitioner.
		•	
			Mrs.Nag, learned advocate representing th
			petitioner submits that the said Smt.B.Bhamath
		/	Additional Secretary was not the disciplinar
20 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2			authority in respect of the petitioner since the sai
			petitioner was sent on deputation to serve th
			Andaman Adim Janjathi Vikash Samity (hereinafte
			referred to as AAJVS).
			referred to as Androy.
The second secon			