| | ate | No. | | Office notes, reports, orders or proceedings with signatures |
|---|--------------|-------|----------------|---|
| | | | | |
| | | | | Inquiring Authority Smt. Sodhi then the same wil |
| | | , t | | also stand quashed as the same cannot be |
| | | | | sustained since said Smt. Bhamathi, Addl |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Secretary, not being the disciplinary authority of the |
| | | | | petitioner appointed the new inquiring authorit |
| | | - | | namely Smt. Sodhi, IAS to inquire into the charge |
| | *: | | NAME OF STREET | framed against the said petitioner. |
| | | | | |
| | | | | In the affidavit in opposition filed by th |
| | | | | respondents/administration, it has been specifical |
| | | | | admitted that the earlier inquiring authori |
| | | | | S.K.Agarwal has already completed the inquiry ar |
| | | | | submitted the inquiry report to the Chief Secreta |
| | | | | along with the forwarding letter dated 29 |
| | | 100 | * | December, 2009. |
| | | | * * | |
| | | | | Therefore, the Chief Secretary, A & |
| | | | | Administration being the disciplinary authority of the |
| | | | | petitioner is directed to take further necessary ste |
| | | | | in relation to the disciplinary proceedings alread |
| | | | | initiated against the petitioner on the basis of the |
| | | | | inquiry report submitted by the earlier inquiry office |
| | | | | Shri S.K.Agarwal along with the forwarding lett |
| | All the same | 1-11- | | dated 29 th December, 2009 without any further del |
| a de la companya de | | | | but positively within a period of three months fro |
| | 3/ | | | |
| | | | | |