M.10

Noting by Office of or Advocate	Serial No.	Date	Office notes, reports, orders or proceedings with signatures
			The second secon
0.50			power of the disciplinary authority in respect of the
			petitioner herein. Therefore, I find that the Chier
			Secretary did not commit any mistake by initiating
			the disciplinary proceeding against the petitioner
			as disciplinary authority. Subsequently,
			Smt.B.Bhamathi, Additional Secretary claiming
			herself as disciplinary authority of the petitioner and
			issued the impugned order dated 16 th June, 2010
s = *			appointing the new inquiring authority to inquire into
			the charges framed against the petitioner without
			realising that she was not the disciplinary authority
			at the time of issuance of the impugned order dated
			16 th June, 2010 in view of the fact that the petitioner
	i Na mo		herein was posted on deputation in the AAJVS at that
			time.
			For the aforementioned reasons, the
20			impugned order dated 16 th June, 2010 passed by
			Additional Secretary Smt.B.Bhamathi cannot be
	*		sustained in the eye of law and the same is
		e e	accordingly quashed. In view of quashing of the
			accordingly quasiled. In view aforesaid order dated 16th June, 2010, no step ca
		n 0"	be taken by the newly appointed Inquiring Authorit
		0	namely, Smt. S.K.P. Sodhi, IAS and if any step ha
		= 2	namely, Smt. S.K.P. Sodili, A.S. difference of the said newly appointed already been taken by the said newly appointed
	ALCAT		already been taken by the said to
(8/	4		
		zij .	