




M.10

Noting by Office of or Advocate	Serial No.	Date	Office notes, reports, orders or proceedings with signatures
   C. A. No. 226/12 Date 18/1/12		January 14, 2011	<p>WP No.1151 of 2010</p> <p>( Govind Ram -vs- The Union of India and others)</p> <p>Mrs.Anjili Nag                   ... for the petitioner  Mr.S.K.Mandal               ... for the respondent Nos.1-3  Mr.N.A.Khan                 ... for the respondent Nos.4-7</p> <p>The petitioner herein has challenged the validity and/or legality of the order dated 16<sup>th</sup> June, 2010 passed by Smt.B.Bhamati, the Additional Secretary, who claimed herself as disciplinary authority of the petitioner and in exercise of the powers conferred under sub-rule (2) of sub-rule (22) of Rule 14 of the Central Civil Services(Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1965 ( hereinafter referred to as 'CCS(CCA) Rules, 1965) appointed another Inquiring Authority to inquire into the charges framed against the petitioner.</p> <p>Mrs.Nag, learned advocate representing the petitioner submits that the said Smt.B.Bhamathi, Additional Secretary was not the disciplinary authority in respect of the petitioner since the said petitioner was sent on deputation to serve the Andaman Adim Janjathi Vikash Samity (hereinafter referred to as AAJVS).</p>