

21. With reference to statements made in paragraph No.11 of the said Writ Application I deny and dispute the allegations made therein. It is submitted that the petitioner has no authority to refrain the departmental inquiry proceedings along with the criminal proceedings. As such no response was necessary particularly in view of the several pronouncements by the apex court.
22. With reference to statements made in paragraph No.12 of the said Writ Application I deny and dispute the allegations made therein. It is submitted that the duty of the Inquiry Officer is to conduct the inquiry until an order from the competent authority restricts him to do so.
23. With reference to statements made in paragraph No.13 to 15 of the said Writ Application I deny and dispute the allegations made therein. It is submitted that the report of the Inquiry Officer (Shri S K Aggarwal, Conservator of Forest) submitted to Ministry vide Admn's letter No. 79-322/98-PW (PF) dated 13.01.2010 was not satisfied by the Ministry. Hence the inquiry report remitted back to this Administration with the direction to resume the inquiry afresh from the stage of examination of witnesses and consequent on transfer of Shri S K Aggarwal, Smti SKP Sodhi, Secretary (SW/TW) was appointed as Inquiry Officer to inquire into the charges framed against Shri Govind Ram.
24. With reference to statements made in paragraph No.16 of the said Writ Application is a matter of record any statement made contrary to the record is deemed to be denied. It is further submitted that in terms of Rule 15 (1) of CCS (CCA) Rules 1965 which says as follows:- *"The Disciplinary Authority, if it is not itself the Inquiring Authority may for reason to be recorded by it in writing remit the case to the Inquiry Authority for further Inquiry and report and the Inquiry Authority thereupon proceed to*