

25. With reference to statements made in paragraph No.17 of the said Writ Application I deny and dispute the allegations made therein. It is submitted that though the petitioner is under deputation with Respondent No: 7, he is a DANICS Officer and under the control of Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India, New Delhi therefore this writ application is not maintainable before this court.
26. With reference to statements made in paragraph No.18 of the said Writ Application I deny and dispute the allegations made therein. It is submitted that Smti. S.K.P. Sodhi is an IAS Officer and has been appointed as Inquiry officer to hold the inquiry against the petitioner. Her appointment has been made by the competent authority.
27. With reference to statements made in paragraph No.19, 20 & 21 of the said Writ Application I deny and dispute the allegations made therein.
28. With reference to the grounds made in sub-paragraph No. A to K in paragraph No.22 of the said Writ Application I deny and dispute. It is submitted that none of the ground is tenable under the law and the said application is not maintainable before this court as the applicant is a Central Govt. Employee and covered under the CCS /CCA rules and the dispute raised by him related to the service as such the Central Administrative Tribunal is empowered to deal with the matter.
29. With reference to statements made in paragraph No.23 to 28 of the said Writ Application I deny and dispute the allegations made therein. It is submitted that the application before this court is not maintainable and this Hon'ble Court has no jurisdiction, therefore the same is liable to be rejected with cost. It is further submitted the petitioner reserve his right to make oral submission at the time of hearing of the Writ Application.