

position, Smti S.K.P. Sodhi, Secretary (Social Welfare / Tribal Welfare) did not agree to take action against the said drawl of HRA and ordered the petitioner straight away not to intervene in the matter further. Since the said date, the petitioner noted Smti S.K.P. Sodhi, Secretary (Social Welfare / Tribal Welfare) to behave differently with him on each and every issue. Appointing such officer as the Inquiry officer certainly means that the authorities are interested to trap the petitioner in some or the other way.

19. That in the above said manner the authorities have violated the legal right of the petitioner.
20. That the authorities have violated Articles 14, 15 and 21 of the Constitution of India.
21. That no opportunity of hearing was given to the Petitioner before passing the order dated 16<sup>th</sup> June 2010. Hence the principle of natural justice has been violated.
22. That being aggrieved by and dissatisfied with the impugned order dated 16<sup>th</sup> June 2010, 24<sup>th</sup> June 2010 and 30<sup>th</sup> June 2010 passed by the respondents. The petitioner has no other alternative other than to file this writ petition amongst others: -

GROUNDS

- a. For that the order passed by the respondent authorities dated 16<sup>th</sup> June 2010, 24<sup>th</sup> June 2010 and 30<sup>th</sup> June 2010 is bad both in law and facts.
- b. For that the respondent authority i.e. the Additional Secretary, Ministry of Home Affairs, respondent no. 2 has no authority to issue the order dated 16<sup>th</sup> June 2010 as the respondent