

- Denied. The Administration has modified the order No: 3112 dated 8/8/2007 on 28/11/2007 and not on 1/1/2008
- 8. Denied. The petitioner was placed under suspension on his unbecoming of a Government servant and misbehaved
- Denied. The memorandum and charge sheet was served to the petitioner based on his involvement in a act of unbecoming of a Government servant
- 10. Denied. Presenting Officer was appointed to present the case in terms of orders of the competent authority.
- 11. Denied. The petitioner has no authority to refrain the departmental inquiry proceedings along with the criminal proceedings. As such no response was necessary
- 12. Denied. It is the duty of the Inquiry Officer to conduct the inquiry until an order from the competent authority restricts him to do so.
- 13. Denied.
- 14. Denied.
- Denied. The trial was not completed but delayed due to transfer of the Inquiry Officer and posting for new Inquiry Officer by the competent authority.
- 16. Admitted. Appointment order of new inquiry officer was served to the petitioner
- 17. Denied. Though the petitioner is under deputation with Respondent no: 7, he is a DANICS Officer and under the control of Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India, New Delhi
- 18. Denied. Smti. S.K.P. Sodhi is an IAS Officer and has been appointed as Inquiry officer to hold the inquiry against the petitioner. Her appointment has been made by the Competent authority.
- 19. Denied
- 20. Denied
- 21. DENIED
- 22. A to k Denied.