

Each hut was so open and so close to each other that in a moments call they could all join together and get ready to face any emergency if need be. Two or three persons could easily sleep in each one of the huts. Their implements like the bow and arrow and also a burning fire was found in each of the huts. The cluster of huts could easily shelter 10 to 15 persons protecting them from sun and rain. We can safely assume that these 10 to 15 persons who had built their huts together and were staying in a group did have a common bond which made them stay together. None of Enmer's kinsmen had their huts in this place. So they had no obligation to part with their finds for the sake of Enmer. He did not belong to their group.

We could also observe that there were about 50 to 60 people on the shore of which Enmer's kinsmen about 10 to 15 were a small part. We can also assume, therefore, that besides the cluster of 5 to 6 huts which we went through there might be three or four more similar clusters of huts which shelter three or four more such sub-groups. I have used the word 'sub-group' with reference to the people who stay in such cluster of huts separately, ~~but~~ but who can all come together on to the shore and wait for us or welcome us when we go there. They, all together, make the larger <sup>larger</sup> third higher level group, forming, may be, one section of the Jarawas.

May be, all this is baseless conjecture. We may study the Jarawas further and reject the above. Or may be that these are observations already made by the Anthropologists who have studied Jarawas and recorded the same. Then our inferences corroborate them. If not so, then we may take these as preliminary observations and probe further for confirmation or rejection.

~~Finally in a separate note following question arises in my mind that what would be the best and most effective method to collect specimen after project period. Should the tribe go into extinction.~~

M.R. Ranganathan  
Project Officer  
CII