



SCHEMES FOR INCOME GENERATION

AND

DISTRIBUTION OF AGRICULTURAL INPUTS

A sample survey of schemes for the poor

Report no. 9 of 2002

“Money is being spent on the poor and is reaching the poor in spite of the bribes and commissions taken by the system but proportionate results in terms of augmentation in income and production are not being achieved because of poor monitoring, incorrect beneficiary selection, lack of transparency and client participation, and a target oriented approach that evaluates success mainly in terms of expenditure instead of the achievement of scheme objectives. And, as in everything else, there is no accountability for failure.”

“Subsidy is given for new wells but there is no irrigation to be seen; subsidy is distributed for establishing grocery shops but only a few are functioning. This is a common tale but, what is worse, it’s a very old tale.”

OTHER REPORTS PUBLISHED RECENTLY

1. MALNUTRITION RELATED DEATHS OF TRIBAL CHILDREN

Published on 6/5/2002.

2. RESETTLEMENT OF TRIBAL FAMILIES DISPLACED BY IRRIGATION PROJECTS

Published on 27/5/2002.

3. SCHEME FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO PREGNANT TRIBAL WOMEN

Published on 25/6/2002.

4. DYING CHILDREN

Published on 17/9/2002.

5. POLITICIANS CONTROLLING AIDED ASHRAM SCHOOLS

Published on 31/10/2002

6. STEALING FROM THE POOR – Watershed Development works

Published on 8/11/2002.

7. LAND, FIREWOOD AND BUREAUCRATIC PREDATORS

Published on 22/10//2002

8. BROKEN DAMS

Published on 21/11/2002

Copies can be obtained from :-

**The Commissioner,
Tribal Research & Training Institute,
Government of Maharashtra,
28, Queen's Garden, Pune 411 001.**

**Phone Nos : (020) 6360941, (020) 6362071
Fax No.: (020) 6360026**

Sr. No.	Contents	Page No.
	MAIN REPORT/ ANALYSIS	1
1	Findings	3
2	Recommendations	5
3	Tables - Income Generation	
	Table No. 1 The statement showing the total beneficiaries, beneficiaries surveyed and subsidy obtained.	6
	Table No. 2 Financial assistance for Kirana (grocery) Shop 2000-2001	7
	Table No. 3 Financial assistance for Vegetable shop	9
	Table No. 4 Financial assistance for small flour mill	10
	Table No. 5 Financial assistance for sale of flowers, coconut etc.	12
	Table No. 6 Statement showing the changes made by the Project Officer, Ghodegaon in the list of beneficiaries sanctioned by the Additional Tribal Commissioner, Thane.	13
	Table No. 7 The changes made by the Project Officer in the list of beneficiaries approved by the Additional Tribal Commissioner, Thane.	13
	Tables - Distribution of Agricultural Inputs	
	Table 8 List of beneficiaries for the year 2000-2001 in Ambegaon and Junnar Tahsils	14
	Table No.9 Items for which subsidy is given under the scheme for distribution of agricultural inputs	17
	Table No. 10 Beneficiaries who have stated that no land development work done on their land as falsly shown in the official record.	17
	Table No. 11 Statement of bribe paid	17
	Table No. 12 Expenditure incurred by Ambegaon block during the period 2000-2001 under the scheme	18
	Table No. 13 Land holding of beneficiaries	18
	Table No. 14 Food availability	18
4	Research Team	19

SCHEMES FOR INCOME GENERATION
AND
DISTRIBUTION OF AGRICULTURAL INPUTS

Introduction

Money is being spent on the poor and is reaching the poor in spite of the bribes and commissions taken by the system but proportionate results in terms of augmentation in income and production are not being achieved because of poor monitoring, incorrect beneficiary selection, lack of transparency and client participation, and a target oriented approach that evaluates success mainly in terms of expenditure instead of the achievement of scheme objectives. And, as in everything else, there is no accountability for failure.

Subsidy is given for new wells but there is no irrigation to be seen; subsidy is distributed for establishing grocery shops but only a few are functioning. This is a common tale but, what is worse, it's a very old tale.

A sample survey of two schemes was done. One pertains to financial assistance for income generation and the other to assistance for agricultural inputs.

Income generation scheme

A sample survey of income generation schemes for the poor has been done. In these schemes 50% of the assistance is in the form of subsidy. However for tribal families below the poverty line the subsidy is 100%.

Out 175 beneficiaries in 2000-2001 in Ambagaon and Junnar talukas of Pune district, under the Tribal Development Project, Ghodegaon, 40 beneficiaries from 16 villages were surveyed. The details are given below.

Scheme component	No. of beneficiaries	Expenditure per beneficiary	Total expenditure	Beneficiaries surveyed
Financial assistance for Kirana (grocery), pan, vegetable shop	90	5,000/-	4,50,000/-	21
Financial assistance for small flour mill	71	3,500/-	2,48,500/-	15
Financial assistance for sale of flowers, coconut etc.	14	5,000/-	70,000/0	4
Total	175	-	7,68,500/-	40

The villages were selected on the basis of convenient location.

Scheme for distribution of agricultural inputs

Under this scheme, subsidy ranging from 50% to 100% is given to farmers for agricultural implements, bullocks, bullock carts, repairing wells etc. For items like land development work, pump sets, drilling in open wells, and new wells the subsidy limit is Rs.11,000, Rs.10,000, Rs.8,000 and Rs. 50,000, respectively. 44 families were surveyed from 9 villages as indicated below. The items carrying higher subsidies were selected for survey. And the villages were also selected keeping this point in view.

Item	No.of beneficiaries		No.of items given
	Ambegaon	Junnar	
1) New Wells	4	9	13
2) Land improvement	13	2	15
3) Distribution of bullock pair	2	11	13
4) Pipe line	-	13	13
Total	19	25	54

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

FINDINGS

Income generation schemes

- None of the surveyed beneficiaries were below the poverty line. The Project Officer, ITDP, Ghodegaon, seems not to have given much priority to the poor.
- 45% of the surveyed beneficiaries did not use the money for the purpose for which it was granted for income generation and did not create any assets from the assistance.
- 15% of the beneficiaries belonged to families where more than one member had been assisted. This is an indication of benefits being monopolized by influential families.
- The facts stated above show that the monitoring of the end use of funds by the implementing agency is poor, both, in terms of fund utilization and equity in fund distribution.
- 70% of the beneficiaries already had shops or family members in employment and should not have been given assistance. The ATC (Additional Tribal Commissioner) had sanctioned a list of 175 beneficiaries of which only 62 were retained by the PO (Project Officer) who changed 113 names at his level. Even if this major change was made because the selected beneficiaries were not able to meet their 50% share of the assistance, as claimed by the PO, no care was taken to ensure that other poorer families were assisted. A sample of 10 new families added by the PO was examined which showed that 70% of these beneficiaries either owned other shops or had a husband or son in employment and should not have been given assistance in preference to poorer families below the poverty line without adequate means of livelihood. (One beneficiary had an audio-cassette shop).
- The mini flour mills sanctioned under the scheme were being used by the family for domestic requirements but not commercially. The scheme objective of income generation was therefore not fulfilled.

Findings

Scheme for distribution of agricultural inputs

- Bribe taking is rampant. The table below gives some details. The actual bribes and commissions are bound to be much more as not everyone would openly state that a bribe was paid for fear of retaliation from the system. Secondly, in the absence of the male head of the house, the questions were answered by the wife or children who would hesitate to speak of bribes being given. It is also unlikely that bribe would be taken from some farmers and not others.

Component	Total subsidy given to surveyed families (Rs.)		Total bribe paid by surveyed families/ amount misappropriated		Percentage of bribe	Remarks
	Amount	Persons	Amount	Persons		
New well	3,25,511.00	13	17,500.00	7	5.38	Bribe
Land Development	1,05,506.00	15	4,050.00	4	3.84	Bribe
Bullock pair	63,000.00	14	2,300.00	5	3.65	Bribe
Land Development work not done	42,639.00	6	42,639.00	6	100.00	Total amount misappropriated
Total	5,36,656.00	48	66,489	22	12.38	

- 13 farmers received assistance for new wells. However this brought no irrigation because 11 farmers stated that they had no pumps. Two wells were dry for which no survey had been done by the Ground Water Survey and Development Agency prior to well construction.
- There is no significant increase in the food availability at the household level. (Please see the table.)

RECOMMENDATIONS

- The process of beneficiary selection should be made transparent.
- The schemes should be explained to farmers by active NGOs.
- Application forms for subsidy should be accessible at the village level. They should not only be available in the Gram Panchayat office but also with some families below the poverty line.
- Eligibility criteria should be explained to villagers and should clearly state that preference would be given to poorer households.
- The list of beneficiaries selected to be recommended to the Additional Tribal Commissioner should be publicized in each village. The same should be done for the finally approved list and any changes made by the Project Officer.
- A plan should be prepared for the village regarding the items/activities for which loan/subsidy could be given to ensure that the activities financed have a market in the village, that not too many grocery shops, for example, are financed in the village, that flour milling units are sanctioned in proportion to the rice production in the village and keeping in mind the existing units etc. The village plan should be prepared in consultation with the village community. This work could be done through an NGO.
- Monitoring and evaluation systems need to be established by the Commissioner, Tribal Development, Nasik, who is in charge of coordination and monitoring of the schemes.
- This institute sent teams to the office of the Commissioner, Tribal Development, to inspect and evaluate the monitoring systems but the Commissioner refused to allow the inspection. Government has been informed.
- Pump sets should be provided for new wells. If this is not possible, the beneficiary should be informed that he would not be receiving a pump and the assistance for well construction should only be given if he agrees to draw water using animal power.
- The subsidy for a bullock pair is Rs. 4,500. This should be increased to Rs.10,000 as the price of a pair of animals is between Rs. 15,000 to Rs. 20,000.

Table No. 1

The statement showing the total beneficiaries, beneficiaries surveyed and subsidy obtained.

Sr. No.	Name of the scheme	Year	Total beneficiaries	Surveyed beneficiaries.	No. of beneficiaries who have started business	Subsidy taken but business not started	Percentage	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7		8
1.	Financial assistance for Kirana (grocery) shop	2000-2001	49	15	4	11	73%	Actually not started grocery shop, only subsidy taken from Project Office. Subsidy should not have been given in cash.
2.	Financial assistance for vegetable shop and Pan shop	2000-2001	41	6	4	2	33%	Not started vegetable shop
3.	Financial assistance for small flour mill	2000-2001	71	15	14	1	7%	Taken small flour mill for domestic use and not for earning money
4.	Financial assistance for sale of flowers, coconut etc.	2000-2001	14	4	-	4	100%	-
Total			175	40	22	18	45%	

During field inspection it is observed that 22 beneficiaries have created assets; 18 beneficiaries have not used money, for the purpose for which it is granted.

Total No. of villages surveyed	The survey team surveyed 40 beneficiaries of 16 villages
--------------------------------	--

Table No. 2

Financial assistance for Kirana (grocery) Shop 2000-2001

Sr. No.	Name & address of the beneficiaries	Year	Beneficiaries contribution	Govt. subsidy	Total	Business started or not	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.	Asha Waman Dighe, Kolwadi, Tal. Ambegaon, Dist.Pune	2000 - 2001	5000/-	5000/-	10000/-	Yes	-
2.	Dattatraya Soma Mohundale Taleghar, Tal. Ambegaon, Dist.Pune	2000 - 2001	5000/-	5000/-	10000/-	No	-
3. *	Tanaji Shrawan Lande Kewadi, Tal.Junnar, Dist.Pune	2000 - 2001	5000/-	5000/-	10000/-	No	-
4.	Yuvraj Dhama Lande Kewadi, Tal.Junnar, Dist.Pune	2000 - 2001	5000/-	5000/-	10000/-	No	-
5.	Balu Umaji Lande Kewadi, Tal.Junnar, Dist.Pune	2000 - 2001	5000/-	5000/-	10000/-	No	-
6. *	Dhondu Shrawan Lande Kewadi, Tal.Junnar, Dist.Pune	2000 - 2001	5000/-	5000/-	10000/-	No	No. 3,4,5, 6 beneficiaries are from one family
7.	Jijabai Maruti Supe Rajur No.1, Taluka Junnar, Dist.Pune	2000 - 2001	5000/-	5000/-	10000/-	Yes	-

* Beneficiaries Nos. 3 and 6 are brothers.

Sr. No.	Name & address of the beneficiaries	Year	Beneficiaries contribution	Govt. subsidy	Total	Business started or not	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
8.	Haribhau Bhagoji Mundhe Rajur No.1, Taluka Junnar, Dist.Pune	2000 - 2001	5000/-	5000/-	10000/-	Yes	
9.	Shakuntala Somnath Bharmal Phulawade, Tal.Ambegaon, Dist.Pune	2000 - 2001	5000/-	5000/-	10000/-	No	No. 9 & 10 belong to one family
10.	Shewantabai Krushna Bharmal Phulawade, Tal.Ambegaon, Dist.Pune	2000 - 2001	5000/-	5000/-	10000/-	No	
11.	Anusaya Popat Rawate Ghatghar, Tal.Junnar, Dist.Pune	2000 - 2001	5000/-	5000/-	10000/-	No.	Taken only subsidy from P.O.
12.	Kondabai Dunda Kokane Anjunawale,Tal. .Junnar, Dist.Pune	2000 - 2001	5000/-	5000/-	10000/-	No	Taken only subsidy from P.O.
13.	Maruti Dhondu Gawali Bhiwade,Taluka Junnar, Dist. Pune	2000 - 2001	5000/-	5000/-	10000/-	No	Taken only subsidy from P.O.
14	Shankar Kasu Gawari Mhatarbadi wadi, Tal. Ambegaon, Dist. Pune	2000 - 2001	5000/-	5000/-	10000/-	No	Taken only subsidy from P.O.
15.	Baburao Gayanaji Dagale Kolwadi, Tal.Ambegaon, Dist. Pune	2000 - 2001	5000/-	5000/-	10000/-	Yes	Taken only subsidy from P.O.

Table No. 3

Financial assistance for Vegetable shop

Sr. No.	Name & address of the beneficiaries	Year	Beneficiaries contribution	Govt. subsidy	Total	Business started or not	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.	Balu Rambhau Kedari Gangapur Tal. Ambegaon, Dist.Pune	2000 - 2001	5000/-	5000/-	10000/-	No	Only subsidy is taken
2.	Gunaji Umaji Rawate Sonawal, Tal. Junnar, Dist.Pune	2000 - 2001	5000/-	5000/-	10000/-	No	Only subsidy is taken
3.	Bababai Baburao Dagale Kolwadi, Tal. Ambegaon, Dist.Pune	2000 - 2001	5000/-	5000/-	10000/-	Yes	-
4.	Suman Ganpat Dagale Kolwadi, Tal. Ambegaon, Dist.Pune	2000 - 2001	5000/-	5000/-	10000/-	Yes	-
5.	Hausabai Sitaram Dagale Kolwadi, Tal. Ambegaon, Dist.Pune	2000 - 2001	5000/-	5000/-	10000/-	Yes	-
6.	Lilabai Ashok Kawathe Kolwadi, Tal. Ambegaon, Dist.Pune	2000 - 2001	5000/-	5000/-	10000/-	Yes	-

Table No. 4

Financial assistance for small flour mill

Sr. No.	Name & address of the beneficiaries	Year	Beneficiaries contribution	Govt. subsidy	Total	Business started or not	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.	Venubai Kisan More Khatkala, Tal. Junnar, Dist. Pune	2000 - 2001	3500/-	3500/-	7000/-	-	The small flour mill is still not given to the beneficiary from Project Office.
2.	Bhimaji Chahu Virnak Bhiwade, Tal. Junnar, Dist. Pune	2000 - 2001	3500/-	3500/-	7000/-	Yes	The scheme is income increasing scheme but the beneficiaries are grinding only their flour. The aim of this scheme is not successful.
3.	Maruti Ganpat Jadhav Chas Thakarwadi, Tal. Ambegaon, Dist. Pune	2000 - 2001	3500/-	3500/-	7000/-	Yes	
4.	Pralhad Dashrath Jadhav Chas Thakarwadi, Tal. Ambegaon, Dist. Pune	2000 - 2001	3500/-	3500/-	7000/-	Yes	
5.	Rohidas Baban Kewale Chas Thakarwadi, Tal. Ambegaon, Dist. Pune	2000 - 2001	3500/-	3500/-	7000/-	Yes	
6.	Anusaya Damu Jadhav Chas Thakarwadi, Tal. Ambegaon, Dist. Pune	2000 - 2001	3500/-	3500/-	7000/-	Yes	
7.	Balu Bhiwa Jadhav Chas Thakarwadi, Tal. Ambegaon, Dist. Pune	2000 - 2001	3500/-	3500/-	7000/-	Yes	

Sr. No.	Name & address of the beneficiaries	Year	Beneficiaries contribution	Govt. subsidy	Total	Business started or not	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
8.	Dashrath Khandu Jadhav Chas Thakarwadi, Tal. Ambegaon, Dist. Pune	2000 - 2001	3500/-	3500/-	7000/-	Yes	-
9	Sonu Yashwant Khandagale Chas Thakarwadi, Tal. Ambegaon, Dist. Pune	2000 - 2001	3500/-	3500/-	7000/-	Yes	-
10	Dhondabai Laxman Mate Kotwadi, Tal. Ambegaon, Dist. Pune	2000 - 2001	3500/-	3500/-	7000/-	Yes	-
11	Bajarang Kisan Mundhe Rajur No. 1, Tal. Junnar, Dist. Pune	2000 - 2001	3500/-	3500/-	7000/-	Yes	-
12.	Hona Kashinath More Khatkale, Tal. Junnar, Dist. Pune	2000 - 2001	3500/-	3500/-	7000/-	Yes	-
13.	Kmalakar Dagadu Nangre Undekhadak, Tal. Junnar, Dist. Pune	2000 - 2001	3500/-	3500/-	7000/-	Yes	-
14.	Pandurang Sakharam Kedam Khatkale, Tal. Junnar, Dist. Pune	2000 - 2001	3500/-	3500/-	7000/-	-	The small flour mill is not in the house of the beneficiary
15.	Subhash Chima Kokane Anjanawale, Tal. Junnar, Dist. Pune	2000 - 2001	3500/-	3500/-	7000/-	Yes	-

Table No. 5

Financial assistance for sale of flowers, coconut etc.

Sr. No.	Name & address of the beneficiaries	Year	Beneficiaries contribution	Govt. subsidy	Total	Business started or not	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.	Jethu Kalu Pardhi Nigdale, Tal. Ambegaon, Dist.Pune	2000 - 2001	5000/-	5000/-	10000/-	Yes	
2.	Dattatraya Ganpat Lohakare Nigdale, Tal. Ambegaon, Dist.Pune	2000 - 2001	5000/-	5000/-	10000/-	Yes	
3.	Vijay Maruti Ugale, Nigdale, Tal. Ambegaon, Dist.Pune	2000 - 2001	5000/-	5000/-	10000/-	Yes	
4.	Ashok Ganpat Lohakare Nigdale, Tal. Ambegaon, Dist.Pune	2000 - 2001	5000/-	5000/-	10000/-	Yes	

Table No. 6

Statement showing the changes made by the Project Officer, Ghodegaon in the list of beneficiaries sanctioned by the Additional Tribal Commissioner, Thane.

Sr. No	Item	ATC Thane's sanctioned beneficiaries list	Beneficiaries benefited in the list	New beneficiaries added by the Project Officer	Difference	Percentage
1.	Financial assistance for Kirana (grocery) shop, Financial assistance for vegetable shop and Pan shop	-	-	-	-	-
		90	31	59	59	66%
2.	Financial assistance for small flour mill	71	31	40	40	56%
3.	Financial assistance for sale of flowers, coconut etc.	14	-	14	14	100%
Total		175	62	113	113	65%

Table No. 7

The changes made by the Project Officer in the list of beneficiaries approved by the Additional Tribal Commissioner, Thane.

Sr. No.	Name of beneficiaries	Present occupation	Assistance given
1.	Anusayabai Popat Raote	1) Fair price shop 2) Agriculturist	Grocery shop Grocery shop
2.	Dunda Luma Kokane	Agriculturist	Grocery shop
3.	Gunaji Umaji Raote	Agriculturist	Vegetable shop
4.	Bhimaji Chadu Virnak	1) Son of beneficiary is a teacher 2) Agriculturist	Small flour mill
5.	Ghondabai Laxman Mate	1) Husband of beneficiary is employed at Ashram Shala 2) Agriculturist	Small flour mill
6.	Kamlakar Dagdu Nangare	Agriculturist	Small flour mill
7.	Jethu Kalu Pardhi	Agriculturist Shop at Bhimashankar	Flowers, coconut shop
8.	Dattatraya Ganpat Lohkare	Shop at Bhimashankar	Flowers, coconut shop
9.	Vijay Maruti Ugale	1) Agriculturist 2) Shop at Bhimashankar	Flowers, coconut shop
10.	Ashok Ganpat Lohkare	1) Audio Cassette shop 2) Agrculturists	Flowers, coconut shop

Table 8

List of beneficiaries for the year 2000-2001 in Ambegaon and Junnar Tahsils

Sr. No	Name of the beneficiary	Village	Type of benefits	Subsidy given	Bribe / Misappropriation
1	2	3	4	5	6
Ambegaon Tahsil					
1.	Krushnabai Bhaga Gadekar	Gangapur Kh.	New well	18,753/-	6,000/-
2.	Shankar Mhatarba Satkar	Gangapur Kh.	Bullock pair , Pipe	4,500/- 5,850/-	-
3	Ganpat Vitthal Walkoli	Gohe Bk	New Well	32,670/-	3,000/-
4	Kisan Patilbuwa Gengje	Gohe Bk	Land Development	5,957/-	5,957/-
5.	Kondaji Krishnaji Gengje	Gohe Bk	Land Development	9,344/-	9,344/-
6	Javaji Durgaji Gengje	Gohe Bk	Land Development	9,320/-	9,320/-
7.	Maruti Rakhmaji Gengje	Gohe Bk	New Well	45,000/-	3,000/-
8.	Maruti Gopal Walkoli	Gohe Bk	Land Development Pipe	4,361/- 6,762/-	4,361/- -
9	Madhav Vitthal Gengje	Gohe Bk	Land Development	6,127/-	6,127/-
10.	Kacharu Sonu Talpe	Gohe Bk	Land Development Pipe	7,530/- 6,762/-	7,530/- -
11	Khema Pandhaji Golhe	Ugalewadi	New Well	23,617/-	3,000/-
12	Vishnu Chimaji Ugale	Ugalewadi	Land Development	5,779/-	-
13.	Baban Kushaba Bhokare	Ugalewadi	Land Development	4,800/-	-
14	Anant Sakharam Shingade	Ugalewadi	Land Development	6,070/-	-
15	Tukaram Ramchandra Ugale	Ugalewadi	Land Development	1,018/-	-

Sr.N o	Name of the beneficiary	Village	Type of benefits	Subsidy given	Bribe / Misappropriation
1	2	3	4	5	6
16	Baban Gunaji Masale	Pokhari	Land Development	10,000/-	1,000/-
17	Shivram Bhagu Kalap	Pokhari	Land Development Bullock pair	10,000/- 4,500/-	2000/- -
18	Dhaku Namdeo Kathale	Pokhari	Land Development	10,000/-	1,000/-
19	Kushaba Laxman Ambekar	Pokhari	Bullock pair	4,500/-	1,000/-
Total (Ambegaon Tahsil)				2,43,220/-	62,639/-
Junnar Tahsil					
20	Shravan Navaji Mandave	Godre	New well	19,973/-	-
21	Baban Tukaram Rengade	Godre	New well	23,054/-	-
22	Krushna Zunga Utale	Godre	New well Pipe	25,624/- 4,090/-	- -
23	Pandharinath Deoji Rengade	Godre	New well	28,291/-	-
24	Bhalchandra Maruti Rengade	Godre	New well	15,772/-	500
25	Chandrabhaga Gangaram Utale	Godre	New well	25,000/-	-
26	Dhondur Dagadu Rengade	Godre	New well	9,757/-	-
27	Devaraj Shrirvan Talpe	Ghangatdare	New well	29,935/-	1,000/-
28	Shantabai Vitthal Talpe	Ghangatdare	New well	28,065/-	1,000/-
29	Laxman Devara Utale	Godre	Land development	9,600/-	-
30	Nivruti Mohana Bhavari	Tejur	Land development	5,600/-	50/-
31	Kisan Raghu Virnak	Ghangatdare	Bullock pair Pipe	4,500/- 4,320/-	500/- -
32.	Dhondur Dharu Bhangar	Ghangatdare	Bullock pair Pipe	4,500/- 5,849/-	200/- -

Sr.No	Name of the beneficiary	Village	Type of benefits	Subsidy given	Bribe / Misappropriation
1	2	3	4	5	6
33.	Rupa Govind Ambekar	Ghangatdare	Bullock pair	4,500/-	100/-
34.	Kama Govind Talpe	Ghangatdare	Bullock pair Pipe	4,500/- 5,849/-	- -
35.	Waman Maruti Talpe	Ghangatdare	Bullock pair Pipe	4,500/- 5,849/-	- -
36.	Rambhau Janku Talpe	Ghangatdarre	Bullock pair Pipe	4,500 3,240/-	- -
37.	Nimba Laxman Ambekar	Ghangatdare	Bullock pair Pipe	4,500/- 5,849/-	- -
38.	Ganu Dagadu Shelkande	Chavand	Bullock pair Pipe	4,500/- 6,939/-	- -
39	Soma Navaji Shelkande	Chavand	Bullock pair Pipe	4,500/- 7,667/-	500/- -
40	Limba Soma Ture	Tejur	Bullock pair Pipe	4,500/- 5,827/-	- -
41.	Budhabai Mahadeo Hile	Tejur	Bullock pair Pipe	4,500/- 5,400/-	- -
42.	Vitthal Punaji Rengade	Godre	Pipe	5,849/-	-
43.	Chandrakant Tukaram Davkhar	Godre	Pipe	5,849/-	-
44.	Digambar Govind Lande	Keli	Pipe	5,849/-	-
Total Junnar Tahsil			...	3,48,597/-	3,850/-
1.	Ambegaon Tahsil	2,43,220/-	62,639/-
2.	Junnar Tahsil	3,48,597/-	3,850/-
GRAND TOTAL			GRAND TOTAL	5,91,817/-	66,489/-

Table No.9**Items for which subsidy is given under the scheme for distribution of agricultural inputs**

Sr. No.	Item	Limit of subsidy	Percentage of subsidy
1.	Land Development Work	11000	100
2.	Input kits	1500	100
3.	Improved agricultural implements & plant protection appliances	6000	100
4.	Repairing of old wells	4000	50
5.	Supply of bullock pairs	7000	50
6.	Supply of bullock carts	5400	50
7.	Pipeline 300 mts.	3500	50
8.	Digging of tube wells	8000	100
9.	Pump sets	10000	100
10.	New wells	50000	100

Note : In the year 2000-01 an outlay of Rs.912.39 lakhs has been provided in the T.S.P. of the State.

Table No. 10**Beneficiaries who have stated that no land development work done on their land as falsely shown in the official record.**

Sr. No.	Name of the beneficiary	Amount of subsidy shown on record of Panchayat Samiti for land development in the year 2000-2001
1.	Maruti Gopal Walkoli	4361
2.	Madhav Vitthal Gengje	6127
3.	Kachru Sonu Talpe	7530
4.	Jawaji Durgaji Gengje	9320
5.	Kondaji Krushnaji Gengje	9344
6.	Kisan Patilbuwa Gengje	5957
Total		42639

Table No. 11**Statement of bribe paid**

Sr. No.	Name of beneficiary	Benefit type	Subsidy given	Amount paid as corruption
1.	Krushnabai Bhaga Gadekar	New well	43000	6000
2.	Ganpat Vitthal Walkoli	New well	42000	3000
3.	Maruti Rakhmaji Gengje	New well	42000	3000
4.	Khema Pandhaji Golhe	New well	45000	3000
5.	Baban Gunaji Masle	Land Dev.	9000	1000
6.	Shivram Bhagu Kolap	Land Dev.	11000	2000
7.	Dhaku Namdev Kathale	Land Dev.	9000	1000
8.	Kushaba Laxman Ambekar	Bullock pair	8200	1000
Total			209200	20000

Table No. 12
Expenditure incurred by Ambegaon block during the period 2000-2001 under the scheme

Sr. No.	Name of the scheme	No of beneficiaries	Expenditure
Expenditure at P.S. level			
1.	Land Development	79	583755/-
2.	Mini kits	112	113990/-
3.	Bullock carts	18	54000/-
4.	Bullock pair	33	148500/-
5.	Old well repairs	1	4000/-
6.	New well	26	520695/-
Expenditure at Z.P. level			
1.	Supply of advanced Agril. Instruments	130	353465/-
2.	P. V. C. Pipes	30	108950/-
Total		240	1887355/-

Table No. 13
Land holding of beneficiaries

Size	No. of beneficiaries	Remarks
Upto 2 acre	9	There are 3 beneficiaries having irrigated land.
2 to 4 acre	26	There is 1 beneficiary having 3 acres irrigated land.
4 to 6 acre	7	
6 to 8 acre	-	
8 to 10 acre	1	
10 to 15 acre	1	
Total	44	

Note – There are four beneficiaries having a irrigated land and their holdings are 3 acre, 1 acre, 20 R, 40 R respectively.

Table No. 14
Food availability

Period of food availability(months)	No. of families before assistance	No. of families after assistance
Upto 2	3	3
2 to 4	6	4
4 to 6	4	4
6 to 8	7	5
8 to 10	10	9
10 to 12	14	12
Above 1 year	-	7
Total	44	44

RESEARCH TEAM

Guide, Analysis & Commentary

Shri Arun Bhatia, I.A.S.
Commissioner

Supervision, Data Collection & Analysis

Shri S.B.Gadewal, Dy. Director
Shri S.B.Darade, Research Officer

DTP Work

Shri S.R.Kute, Stenographer (HG)
Shri D.D.Gaikwad, Stenographer (LG)
Smt.S.S.Bhutkar, Steno-typist
Smt.A.J.Gaikwad, Steno-typist

Investigators

Shri M.A. Shaikh, Lecturer
Shri B.B.Navale, Sr.Clerk
Shri S.G.Shaikh, Jr.Clerk
Shri U.M.Yadav, Draughtsman
Shri K.R.Nangare, Sr.Clerk

Drivers

Shri I.A.Pirjade
Shri V.N.Pawar

