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## SOMATOLOGY OF THE KOLAM

## INTRODUCTION:


#### Abstract

The Kolam is a primitive endozamous Dravidian Tribe, inhabiting the wild and mountainous tracts of the Adilabad District of Andhra Pradesh, the agency areas of the Chanda, Yeetmal and Wardha Districts in Maharashtra State, a territory known as Gondawana.


The Kolams have their ow dialect called 'Kolami' which according to Grierson is akin to 'Gondi ${ }^{1}$ and also related in certain respects to Telugug Kannada and Tamil. The tribe is devided into various exogamous clans or families. They live in wooden cottages on the crest of hill slopes, and often shift their habitation into the most inaccessible part of the mountains, to avoid contact with outsiders. They subsist on the most primitive form of shifting cultivation. Of late they are taking up forest labour and plough cultivation.

Previous studies on the physical characters of the Kolam, are very limited. Karve and Dandekar
(1951) have studied the Anthropometric characters of 30 individuals, as part of a study of the people of Maharastra. But they have not dealt with the males and fenales separately, nor statistically treated the community individually.

The present anthropometric and Somatoscopic investigation was carried out in the months of NovemberDecember 1974, from 10 villages namely Leingdicuda, Gouri, Panapathar, Yempalle, Shampur and Umri in Utnoor Panchayat Samithi and Choupanguda, Mowad, Ginnedhari, Manighad villages of Wankidi Panchayat Samithi of 4dilabad District. Only unrelated indiviđuals were covered.

Excepting for the average values publishe 1 by Karve and Dandekar (1951) there are no other Anthropometric or Somatoscopic data on this tribe. As per the 1971 census, the population of Kolams in Andhra Pradesh Was 26,498 , and 26,277 of them live in dijlabad district.

## The Data:

Anthropometric and somatoscopic data on 133 adult male and 35 adult female individuals were collected accoring to the method prescribed by Martin. Inspite of the best efforts an equal number of females could not be covered, as they were shy, superstitious, and suspicious to stand before outsiders.

## AGE DISTRIBUTION:

The Age distribution of the sample covered
is as fullows:

## Table No. 1 <br> Age Distribution.



The statistical constents of measurements for the meles ( $\mathbb{T}$ able No.1a) and females ( $T$ able No. 1b) and indices for males (Table Mo.2a) and females (Trble No.2b) with range of veriation, mean with standard error, standard deviation with standard error, coefficient of variation with standard error are presented below:

S.llo. Measuromonts ..... ange
17. Biacromial breadth
$28.3-39.6$
18. Morphological facial height ..... 9.1-11.9
19. Morphological upper facial $5.3-7.4$
20. External orhital breadth ..... 9.9-12.4
21. Nasal length ..... 3.6-5.4
22. Nasal breadth ..... 3.3-5.5
23. Ear Iength ..... 5.1-7.5
24. Ear breadth ..... 3.1-4.2
25:(I) Upper Ieg Iength ..... $.37 .9-57.8$
25. (2) Jower leg length ..... 27.1-46.5
25(3)* Leg length ..... 82. $4-703.4$
26* Arm Length64.8-89.926(1) Upper arm length$26.00-45.20$
26(2) Lower arm length ..... 16.60-46.60
27. Arm span ..... 150.5-189.2
28. Fat fold thickness at ..... 0.3-1.0tricops (mm)
29. Weight (Krs) ..... A은

Mean. $\pm$ S.E. S.D. $\pm$ S.E. $\quad$ VV $\pm$ E.E.

| $35.05 \pm 0.21$ | $2.38 \pm 0.15$ | $6.78 \pm 0.42$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10.66 \pm 0.07$ | $0.79 \pm 0.05$ | $7.45 \pm 0.46$ |

$6.21+0.05 \quad 0.60 \pm 0.04 \quad 9.66 \pm 0.59$
$11.00 \pm 0.04 \quad 0.47 \pm 0.03 \quad 4.26 \pm 0.26$
$4.59+0.04 \quad 0.44+0.03 \quad 9.50+0.53:$
$3.94+0.04 \quad 0.4 .5+0.03 \quad 11.35+0.69$
$6.02 \pm 0.05 \quad 0.53 \pm 0.03 \quad 8.95 \pm 0.55$
$3.55 \pm 0.03$
$0.33 \pm 0.02 \quad 9.34 \pm 0.57$

| $48.95 \pm 0.45$ | $5.22 \pm 0.32$. | $1.66 \pm 0.65$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $38.63 \pm .28$ | $3.28 \pm 0.20$ | $8.46 \pm 0.52$ |


| $92.87 \pm 0.39$ | $4.15 \pm 0.27$ | $4.79 \pm 0.29$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $75.49 \pm 0.24$ | $2.77 \pm 0.17$ | $3.67 \pm 0.23$ |

$31.92 \pm 0.22$
$25.22+0.16$
$170.46+0.08$
$0.12 \pm 0.02$
$47.03+0.39$
4.26+0.27
$3.18 \pm ก .58$

Statistical constients of Moasuromonts
Fomilos (35)

| 1. Stature | 140.1-161.6 | $149.63+n .94$ | $5.57 \pm 0.67$ | $3.72+0.44$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Sitting haight | 72.0-82.1 | $76.12+0.49$ | $2.89 \pm 0.35$ | $3.79 \pm 0.45$ |
| 3. Hoight tragus | 127.3-146.6 | $137.79+0.87$ | $5.15+0.62$ | 3.74+n. 15 |
| 4. Height acromion | 113.7-133.9 | $121.61 \pm 0.89$ | $5.28 \pm 0.63$ | $4.34+0.52$ |
| 5. Height radiale | 85.3-102.6 | $92,24 \pm 0.89$ | $5.29 \pm 0.63$ | 5.73 20.69 |
| 6. Hoight stylion | 63.3-80.2 | $71.55+0.64$ | $3.82 \pm 0.46$ | $5.33+\cap .64$ |
| 7. Height dactylion | 47.5-59.9 | $54.41 \pm 0.63$ | $3.74 \pm 0.45$ | $6.88 \pm n .82$ |
| 8. Height iliospinale | 78.6-100.4 | 87.92+n. 83 | $4.88 \pm 0.58$ | 5.55+0.66 |
| 9. Heizht tibiale | 33.8-46.3 | $39.52 \pm 0.29$ | 2. 9 ¢ $\pm 0.35$ | $7.34 \pm \pm .88$ |
| 70. Hoight sphorion | 4.?-6.8 | $5.26 \%$ - 08 | $0.51+0.06$ | $9.69+1.16$ |
| 17. Head length | 16.2-18.6 | $17.34 \pm 0.10$ | $0.57 \pm 0.07$ | $3.31 \pm 0.40$ |
| 12. Head breadth | 12.4-14.9 | $13.41 \pm 0.14$ | $0.30 \pm 0.10$ | 5.95さn. 71 |
| 13. Head height | 9.0-12.9 | $11.84 \pm 0.15$ | $0.90 \pm 0.11$ | $7.6 n \pm n .91$ |
| 14. Minimum frontal breadth | 9.4-11.2 | 10.10+0.08 | $0.50+0.06$ | 4.95+0.59 |
| 15. Bizygomatic breadth | 10.1-12.7 | $11.30+0.11$ | - $0.65 \pm 0.08$ | 5.73+n. 69 |
| 16. Bigonial broadth | 8.5-10.3 | $9.34 \pm 0.12$ | 0.71+0.09 | 7.65+0.91. |
| 17. Biacromis broadth | 28.0-35.4 | $32.49+0.33$ | 1.97£ก.23 | $6.05+0.72$ |



* Indimot mosuromont, S.D. Stnndned doviation, CV: Co-cfriciont of variation, St: Stani arror.


## MaIes (133)

| 1. Cephalic | 64.77-83.52 | $75.52+0.28$ | $3.25+0.19$ | 4:30+0.26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Lenath height | 50.52-78.57 | $64.98 \pm 0.49^{\prime}$ | $5.67 \pm 0.35$ | $8.73 \pm 0.53$ |
| 3. Breadth height | 64.28-102.20 | $85.91 \pm 0.63$ | $7,31 \pm 0.45$ | 8. $51 \pm 0.52$ |
| 4. Morohological facial | -71.42-98.95 | $86.74+0.52$ | $6.01+0.37$ | 6. $23 \pm 0.43$ |
| 5. Morphological upper facial | 43.06-60.65 | $50.71 \pm 9.37$ | $4.28 \pm 0.26$ | 8. $43 \pm 0.52$ |
| 6. Jugo frontal | 70.00-99.02 | $83.63 \pm 0.63$ | $7.22 \pm 0.42$ | $8.35 \pm 0.51$ |
| 7. Jugo-mandibular | 72.03-96.49 | $85.03 \pm 0.68$ | 7.92 $\pm 0.48$ | $9.31 \pm 0.57$ |
| 8. Biacromial breadth | 17.24-24.57 | $22.06 \pm 0.30$ | $2.27 \pm 0.14$ | $10.29+0.63$ |
| 9: Total leg length stature | 53.89-61.76 | $57.60 \pm 0.16$ | $1.83 \pm 0.11$ | $3.17 \pm 0.19$ |
| 10. Total arm length stature | 42.91-51.57 | $46.49+0.24$ | $2.82+0.17$ | $6.06+0.37$ |
| 11. Na s a I | .67.30-105.88 | $85.10 \pm 0.36$ | $4.14 \pm 0.25$ | $4.86 \pm 0.29$ |
| 12. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ar | 50.00-69.64 | $59.38 \pm 0.44$ | $5.09 \pm 0.31$ | $8.57 \pm 0.53$ |
| 13. Ponderal | 21.20-23.79 | $22.42+0.09$ | $1.09+0.07$ | $4.88+0.29$ |

It tistical Constants fom Indices
Females (35)

| S.NO. Index Range ... Mean+S.E. S.D. + S.E. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

I. Cephalic
2. Iength height
3. Breadth height
4. Morphological facial
5. Morphological upper facial

| $71.42-84.65$ | $77.60 \pm 0.89$ | $5.30 \pm 0.63$ | $6.83 \pm 0.81$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $53.89-76.96$ | $67.38 \pm .03$ | $6.13 \pm 0.73$ | $0.09 \pm 1.08$ |
| $74.41-96.85$ | $87.27 \pm 1.13$ | $7.76 \pm 0.93$ | $8.89 \pm 1.06$ |
| $70.58-96.06$ | $84.66 \pm .15$ | $6.81 \pm 0.81$ | $8.04 \pm 0.96$ |
| $43.58-59.04$ | $50.41 \pm 0.73$ | $4.31 \pm 0.52$ | $8.55 \pm 1.02$ |
| $78.99-96.15$ | $89.08 \pm 0.83$ | $4.89 \pm 0.58$ | $5.49 \pm 0.65$ |
| $68.54-93.45$ | $82.43 \pm 0.99$ | $5.85 \pm 0.70$ | $7.09 \pm 0.85$ |
| $18.46-22.65$ | $21.74 \pm 0.14$ | $0.86 \pm 0.10$ | $3.98 \pm 0.48$ |
| $53.71-62.39$ | $57.12 \pm 0.37$ | $2.19 \pm 0.26$ | $3.84 \pm 0.46$ |
| $40.30-49.09$ | $45.32 \pm 0.36$ | $2.16 \pm 0.26$ | $3.84 \pm 0.16$ |
| $67.34-103.03$ | $85.69 \pm 1.33$ | $7.89 \pm 0.94$ | $9.21 \pm 1.10$ |
| $51.51-66.10$ | $58.31 \pm 0.88$ | $5.18 \pm 0.62$ | $8.88 \pm 1.06$ |
| $20.7-24.2$ | $22.18 \pm 0.20$ | $1.19 \pm 0.14$ | $5.30+0.63$ |

$S D=S t a n d n r d$ deviation, $C V=$ Co-efficient of variation, $S E=$ Standard error.

## remale/. male Index:

| Table No. 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fensicitirle Index. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| - - - - - - - - - |  |  |  |
| 1. Stature | 161.26 | 149.63 | 0.93 |
| 2. Head height | 12.04 | 11.84 | 0.98 |
| 3. Max. heed length | 18.27 | 17.34 | 0.95 |
| 4. Max. head breadth | 13.78 | 13.14 | 0.97 |
| 5. Min. frontal breadth | 10.48 | 10.10 | 0.96 |
| 6. Mex. Bizygomatic breadth | 12.15 | 11.30 | 0.93 |
| 7.' Bigonial breadth | 10.29 | 9.34 | 0.31 |
| 8. Nasal length | 4.59 | 4.33 | 0.92 |
| 9. Nasal breadth | 3.94 | 3.70 | 0.34 |
| 10. Upper facial length | 6.20 | 5.68 | 0.92 |
| 11. Total facial length | 10.66 | 9.60 | 0.90 |

It is aponrent from the table that in all measurements the males show higher values than females. Differences of sizeablo magnitude are observed in total facial length, Bisonial breadth, upper facial length, nasal length, bizygomatic breadth, jasal breadth, heed length, minimun frontal breadth, in that order. The difference in head height and head breadth are relatively low.

It is observed thet the fenale cephailic, length height, breacth height, Jugo frontal incices are relatively more then thet of the males, while morphologicsl feciel and jugo-mendibular indices are less ther the malos. The arphologicel upper feciel, totel lergth stature, nesal, ear, total arm lëngth stature, biacromiell breacth and poncrel indicés" co not show eny appreciable differences. Thus it is epparent thet differences in size as well as shapo between sexes exist, except in fe.: cimensions.

## Statue:

The mean stature of the male Kclain is $161.26 \pm$ 0.56 cms. while the aean stature of the rearle is $145.6 \pm \pm$ 0.S4 chs. With the meximum and minum verying between 777.9 and 149.6 cris for meles and 161.6 cns and 140.1 cms for femeles, respectively, The male Kolens are therefore 11.63 cns taller then the fewales.

Table ITO. 3 :
Classification of Height measurements.
(Males 133)


## Table IIO. 3b

Clasificetion of meight Measurenents.
(Fomeles 35)


It is observec that a nejority of the Kolams are neciun in susulue, tho percentage.frequency of very short people is 3.0 mong the males. Short people are $34.50 \%$ mong the les and $51.43 \%$ atmong the feteles. The mediun people twher clessifiec show lower nectun $24.06 \%$ for meles ent $25.71 \%$ for feneles. Mediun stature people ape $18.80 \%$ emone welos era $5.71 \%$ morg feneles, wille upar meciun steture is
 so ture is very rere being $3.76 \%$ mong males and 5.72. phorg fembes. Tho malos in genorel show e hifher percostage of mediun stature wile the roweles oxhibit e
nicer Irequency of shart stature.

## Sitting Height

The mean sitting height in the case of males is $80.14 \pm 0.31 \mathrm{cms}$ with a varying range between 72.3 89.0 cms , a nd in the case of females it is $76.12 \pm 0.19$ cins with the range varying between $72.0-82.1 \mathrm{cms}$.

## Table N. 4 a

## Classification of sitting Height

(According to Martin) Males (133)

| Low | 75.0-79.9 | 66 | 49.62 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Below Medium | 80.0-84.9 | 55 | 41.35 |
| Miedium | 85.0-89.9 | 12 | 9.02 |
| Above Medium | 90.0-94.9 | -- |  |

Table No. 4 b
(Classification of sitting Height

| Low | 70.0-74.4 | 7 | 20.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Below Medium | 74.5-76.4 | 10 | 28.57 |
| Medium |  |  |  |
|  | 76.5-77. | 10 | 28.57 |
| Tall | 78.0-79.4 | 5 | 14.28 |
|  | 79.5 and Above | 3 | 8.57 |

The male kolams fall in the low and below medium range with a frequency of $49.62 \%$ and 11.35 respectively. Only a small ner centage (9.02) of them foll in the medium range.

Most of the female Kolams are of below medium and medium ranges with a frequency of 28.57, each. Low raree occurs with a frequency of $20.00 \%$ and above medium occurs with a frequency of.14.28. There are also 8. S7 多 tall rance individuals, thus the females exhibit a hisher percentage of medium and tall renges. Cephalic Index: The distribution of cephalic index -s clessified according to s:aller is as follows. Table No. 5a

## Classification of Cephali c Index

(Acc. to Seller) (Males 133)


Hyper duclicho

| Cephalic | X -70.9 | 7 | 5.26 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Dolicho C.ephalic | $71.0-75.9$ | 79 | 59.39 |
| Mesocephalic | $76.0-80.9$ | 38 | 28.57 |
| Erachy ciephalic | $81.0-85.4$ | 9 | 6.76 |
| Hymer Erachy <br> Eephalic | $85.5-90.9$ | - |  |

## Table No. 50

Classification of (e halic Index (Fomales 35 )

Classification
Range ib

Eyper Dolicho Cejhalic $X-71.51$ Dolicho Cophalic
$72.0-76.915$ Moso Cophalic

$$
77.0-81.9 \quad 16
$$

Brachy Cophalic

$$
82.0-86.4 \quad 3
$$

Eyper Erachy Cephalic
86.5-51.9 --

The noan cophalic index of tho ale ana fomalo Kolaw is $75.52=0.28$ and $77 \cdot 60+889$ rospocitvoly, tho range varying betwoon 64.77-83.52 for nales and $71.42-84.65$ for fonalcs. Table $10.5 a$ \& $5 b$ shows that $59.39 \%$ of the males and $42.86 \%$ of the fomles aro dol cophalic with a smal percentage of Eyperdolicho co $5.26 \%$ arong nalos and 2.86 arone foralos. $28.57 \%$ and $4.5 .71 \%$ forales are socophalic. while the bract phalic oleront is very low boing $6.76 \frac{1}{5}$ for nales an for fomalos. Tius tho alcs axhibit a rolativoly hi percontege of alichocerhals than tho fomalos.

Tho moan hoad lonsthe of the ando Kolan is $18.2 .7 \pm$ 0.07 chs with a varying rance between 15.2-19.9 cns. winlo the sane for females is $17 \cdot 34 \pm 0.10 \mathrm{~cm}$ with the rence varying betweon $16.2-18.6$ chs. Tho roan hoad breadth for neles is $13.78 \pm 0.07$ chs rwith the maximur of 14.7 cres and mininu: of 11.4 cns. Tho mean fonale hoad breadth is $13.4 t_{t}+0.07$ cus, with tho varying rango botwoon $12.4-14.9$ cus. The male head is thereforo 0.03 cres, longer ana 0.34 cns greador in tho average than tho fomalo.

T1ablo HO. CE
Classification of Ho-d Lonsth
(Acc. Lcbzoltor \& Sallor) Malos(133)


## Table No. 6b

(Females 35)
Classification of Head lencth.


The head length classified $\equiv$ E. Labzelter and Saller, reveal that both the male (52.63\%) and female ( $57.14 \%$ ) Kolams are prodiominantly of medium head length. Short head length people are $13.58 \%$ among males and $20.00 \%$ among femeles, thet of long heed length $27.78 \%$ among nales. and $14.28 \%$ among females. Very short and very long types are found in low percentage.
Head Ereadth: The mean head breadth in the oase of the male Kolams is $13.78 \pm 0.07 \mathrm{cms}$ with the range varying betweer $11.4-14.7$ cms. while among the females it is $13.44 \pm 0.14$ cms with a varying range between 12.4 e $\$ 4.9 \mathrm{cms}$. The difta rence between the mean mele and fenale head breedth is onl 0.3 cms .

Table No. 7 a
Head breadth (Acc.Lebzelter \& Saller) (Males 133)

| Classification |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very - Varrow | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ - $\overline{13} \overline{\mathrm{~F}} 9$ | 88 | 66.16 |
| Narrow | 14.0-14.7 | 43 | 32.33 |
| Medium | 14.8-15.5 | -- |  |
| Bread | 15.6-16.3 | 2 | 1.50 |

Table No. 7 b
Classification of head breath (remales 35)


The chassification of lued ravedthacr. fishzelter
 and female (60.00 ) Kolam are very narrow, followed by narrow type (32.33) for males and (25.71\%) for ferales. Medium head breadth occurs in (If. 25 铭f the females, while hraid head breadth occurs ina-nerligible semple of (1.50\%) males.

## IESht Tragichs

The mean tragion height among the anales is $149.08 \pm 0.52 \mathrm{chs}$, with the maximum of 164.7 ams and minimum of 137.2 cins, and in the cose of fomales it. is $137.79+$ 0.87 cus, with a varying range between $187.3-146.7 \mathrm{cms}$. The male trafion height is 11.29 cms longer than that of the fomalo.

The menn auricular height of the males is $12.04 \pm 0.09$ cus, ianeint hetween $8.3-13.3 \mathrm{~cm}$, and of the females is II.84土0.15.cms, rengiag between $0.0-12.3$ cms, Thus the male heed appers to be 0.16 cm , hieher than that of the female.

## Table No. 8

Classification of Guricular Ficight. (Moles 133)

| Low | 11.9-11.7 | 59 | 44.36 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medium | 11.8-12.5 | 33 | 24.81 |
| High | 12.6-13.6 | 41 | 30.82 |

The Kolam males have predominartly low auricular height ( $44.36 \%$ ) '. "n Medium type also occurs in $\cdots(24.31 \%)$ and . high type occurs in $30.82 \%$ of them.

$$
\text { se: } 6 \cdots \quad \therefore \quad \therefore-\infty \quad \text { \& }
$$

The meni length-height index of the male Kolam is $64.98+0.4$ while that of the female is $67.38 \pm 1.03$. The rons. .nn: setween 50.52-78-57 in the males and between 53.89-76.96 in the females.

Table No. 9
Cla sificaticn of leneth heieht ind-ox(Malos 133)


The mean breadth height index among the males is $85.91 \pm 0.63$ with a varying range between 64.28-102.20 and in the case of the females it is $87.27 \pm 1.13$ with the ranee varying between 74.41-96.85. The fewle index is 1.30 higher than that of the males.

## Table No. 10

Classification of Leneth - Breadth index (Males 1.33)


The males have predominantly acrocephalic heads ( $56.39 \%$ ) Metrioce $h a l y$ occurs in $18.80 \%$ and Tepeinocephely wa found among $21.81 \%$ of them.

## Total Face Index:

The mean total face inder for the male Kolam
is $86.7+0.52$ with a varying ranee betweon 71.12-98.95 cms, while the same for the femoles is $8.1 .66 \pm 1.15$ ~, with the maximum of 96.06 and the minimum of 70.58 . Table No. 11 Morpholotcal facial index(bcc. Martin \& Saller) (Males 133)

Iyper wur-mrosonic X-78.9 8-6.01

Euryprosupic
Mesoproscpic
Leptoprosoric $79.0-83.9$
$32 \quad 24.06$

Hyper leptoprosopic 93.0-X
$21 \quad 15.78$

## Table ITo. 11 b

(Ferales 35)

| Hyper-eurjpresovic | $x-76.9$ | 6 | 17.14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Euryprosoric | 77.0-80-9 |  | 17.14 |
| Mosopre jopic | 81.0-84.9 |  | 17.14 |
| Lentopresoric | 85.0-85.? | 7 | 20.00 |
| Hyper-Ieptoprosozic | 90.0-X | 10 | 28.57 |

Accorlive to Mortiz and Saller's classification the ajority of Kolars fall in th Loutoprosopic eroup With 20.32 for ales and $20 \%$ for fonalos. Hyporloptoprosoric olonent is rore conspicuous anong the foralos, (28.57\%, whilo
 nales $24.81 \%$ aro Meposonic, 24.00 aro Eurymmsonic. The fomalos oxtibit 17.1 掦 sach of Eyporeuryprosopic, Euryprosonic and Wesoprosopic elenents. Thus marked sexual differonce are chservod in the upror facial indox.

Thu nonn total facial height ia $10.00+0.07 \mathrm{cms}$ with the aximum of 11.9 cus and tho ninimum of 9.1 cms anome the mJos. Ancon the fomles tho man is $2 \cdot 60.14$ cas with the maimur? of 11.3 cras and the inirut of 8.5 cws. Tho weic totol necial height argenes to be 1.05 ens longer than the tomoles.

## Table 1 Ho. $12 a$



| Clessification |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Low | 10.3-10.7 | 11.76 |
| Nodiun | 10.8-11.3 | 8.82 |
| High | -11.4-11.9 |  |
| Woin high | 12.0-X | $2 .$ |

Accordine to Lobzoltor and Saller's classification the File and feralo Kolas have prodowinantly vory low faciol height $(81.20 \%$ mas 7 ( $.47 \%$ fenales). $13.53 \%$ of the males and 11.76 of the fomnes have low facial height; $5.26 \%$ of tho m Ies and $8.82 \%$ of the fo ales have modiun faciol heicht on only $2.94 \%$ fomas havo very high rorphologicel facial hoigt. The difforences betwo on tho two suxes ne mot morkay difforent.

## Upper facial index:

The mean upper facial index for the male Kolam is $50.71 \pm 0.37$. with the maximum of 60.65 , and the minimum of $4.3 .06 \ldots$, , The mean value for females is $50.41+0.73 \quad$, with the maximum of 59.04 ; and minimum of $43.58 \% \because$.

## Table Nio. J.3a

## Morpholozical Superior Facial Index

 (Acc. to Martin \& Saller) (Nales 133)

It is observed that $26.31 \%$ of the males and $22.85 \%$ of the females are Euryen, $39.09 \%$ of the males and $40.00 \%$ the females are mesen, $24.06 \%$ of the males and $20.00 \%$ of females are lepten, hyper lepten type occurs in $9.02 \%$ of the Males and $17.14 \%$ of the females. Hyper eurgentypes occur only inthe males with $1.5 \%$ frequency.

The mean upper facial height of the malesiss $6.21 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{cms}$, with the maximum of 7.4 cms and minimum of 5.3 cms while for the females it is $5.68+0.10 \mathrm{cms}$, with varying rake between $5.1-6.7$ cms.

The mean bizygomatic breadth of the female is $12.15 \pm 0.07 \mathrm{cms}$, with the maximum of 13.7 cms a nd minimum of 10.3 cms . While for the females the mean value is $11.30 \pm 0.11 \mathrm{cms}$, with the range varying between I $10.1-12.7 \mathrm{cms}$.

The male upper face is longer ( 0.53 cms ) and broader ( 0.85 ems ) than the female.

## Jugo-Frontal Index:

The mean jugo-Frontal index among the males is $83.63+0.63$ ems, with a varying range between $70.00-99.02$ cms , and in the females it is $89.08+0.83 \mathrm{cms}$, with the range varying between $78.99-96.15 \mathrm{cms}$. The female index is 5.95 more than the male index.

Both the male and female samples agree with one another in heving predominantly very bread jugo-frontal indices, but the females hare a relati vely gigher index than the males (females 68. 67 males 48.87) Eroad types occur among 36.84 爱 of the males and $22.85 \%$ of the.females. Medium type occurs in $11.27 \%$ of the males and $8.57 \%$ the females. Narrow type occurs only in 2.25 of the males.

The mean minimum front-1 breadth of the male is $10.48 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{cms}$, with the range varying between $9.1-12.5 \mathrm{cms}$, and in the females it is $10.10+0.08$ cms, with a varying ranee between $9 . \pm-11.2$ cms. Thus the difference between the male and fenale is only 0.38 cis.

## Table No. 15a


a,monis lale Kolam medium and above medium types of minimun frontal breadth occur in almost oqual froquencics and are more predominant (38.3楊 and 37.59\% respectively) Eelow mediun type (11. $28 \%$ ) and Eroad type ( 10.5 ; are relatively low. Narrow type is insignificant (2.25\%) .

## Eizuecmetic Arch:

The mean bizyeomatic bredth awong the males is $12.15 \pm 0.07 \mathrm{crs}$, with a maximum of 13.7 cris, and minimum of 10.3 cms , while among the fomales it is $11.30+0.11 \mathrm{cms}$, with the maximum of 12.7 cms and minimum of 10.1 cms. Thus the male Eizygomatic arch is 0.85 cas broader than the female.

## Table Io. $16 a$

## Classification of Dreath of Eizyorratic Arch

| Very narrow | $x-12.7$ | 100 | 75.19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Narrow | 12.8-13.5 | 23 | 21.80 |
| Medium | 13.6-14.3 | 4 | 3.01 |
| Bra | 14.4-15.1 | -- |  |
| Very brad | 15.2-X |  |  |
| - - - | - - - |  |  |
| $==-\ldots .$. |  |  |  |
| classification . . . . . |  |  |  |
| Tery marrow | $\mathrm{X}-12.0 \quad 33$ |  | $33 \quad 84.28$ |
| Norrow | 12.1-12.7 |  |  |
| Modim | 12.8-13.5 -- |  |  |
| Broza | 13. -14.2 - |  |  |
| Very foroad | 14.3-x |  |  |
| - - |  |  |  |

Tho tainc roveals that both tho malo and fewale Koln:s hava prodowimnty very narrow ( 85.1 \% wales and
 very warout type with tha oxception of $5.71 \%$ mornow type,
 tic arches.

## Jueo Mandibular Index:

The mean Jugo Mandibular index for the male is $85.03 \pm 0.68 \%$ with a varyine range between $72.3-96.49$ and in the case of the females, it is $32.43+0.39$ with a varying range between $68.5-93.45:$. The male index is 2.60 more than the fenale.

Table No. 17 2
Juzo Mandibular Index: (Males 133)
(Acc. Lundborg, Linters \& Saller)


It is obsermod that both the alo are feale agree with one nother in having prodowinntly broad type ( $38.34 \%$ ) :ales and $42.86 \%$ fen Io) Wory breat type occurs in $36.04 \%$ anone tho wles and $40.00 \%$ awo the females Mediun type occurs in $21.0 \%$ of the mes and $11.42 \%$ of the fewales. A swall percentage of (3.00 ) aronc the males and 5.71 anore the ferales exhibit narrow type.

The roan bigonial breath among the males is $10.28 \pm 0.06$ cns with the rage varyine between $9.1-11.6$ cus and in the case of femles it is $9.34 \pm .12$ cas with the range varyini between $₹ 5-10.3$ cra. The male bigoninl brualth apmons to be 0.04 cus wre than the femple. MesnI Index: The classificatiosi of Kolans aceordine to frequency of nasal index is presentes in toble No. 18áa18b.

## Table No. 182

(Acc.to Mortin \& Soller) Males 133)


# Trble No. 180 <br> (Fenales 35) 



The nean nesol indor of the malo Kolon is $85.10 \pm .36$ cras rith tho maximun of 105.38 cas and minimun of $67 . j \mathrm{cms}$. The remale meon is $85.69+1.33 \mathrm{cms}$ with the range varying betwon (7.34-103.03 cras. The zijority of Kolans aro chanterine. Mosorbine noses olso occur is a hith irequency wons the rales ( $40.62 \%$ ) and $37 \cdot 14 \%$ among the femases. Lepterbine moses occur in a very Iow percentajo, the fermas having = rintively highor occurance than the mies. MesorWine nesos ro rlatively less arong the formes.

The men nean hoight of the male Kolar is $4.5 \pm .04$ cras, with vargine range botwoen 3.6-5.4 cus, and for tho formes it is $4.23+0.08 \mathrm{cms}$ tho maximu being 4.2 cos ant wini u being 3.4 cus. The moan nasal breath OI the $10 s$ is $3.4+0.4$ cus, with the mage varying betweon 3.3-5.5 cus, whine it js $3.70 \pm 0.8$ cns, with a maximuly of 5 inimul $9^{f} 1 \mathrm{cms}$ for to fomales.

The sexucl dirforence is epperent in the high frequcncy of chomeerhinenoses among the femeles (57.14\%) in contreet to $46.67 \%$ emong the melus.

Eer Index: The mean esr index amons the mole end femele Kolem is almost equal being 59.38 + 0.44 renging between $550: 00-69.54$ in the case of meles and $58.31 \pm 0.88$ rengine between 51.51-66.10 in the cose of femeles.

Height acromion: The meen eciomiel height among the malcs is $132.81 \pm 0.51$ cms with the nge verying between $121.90-146.20 \mathrm{cms}$. In the cose 0 femeles it is $121.61 \pm 0.89 \mathrm{cms}$ with the maimum of 133.9 end the minimum of 113.7 cms . The difforence betwoen the two sexes is insignificent.

Relative biacr miel Breadh Index: The mean biacromial breadth index in the cese of the mole is $22.06 \pm 0.20$ with a verying renge between 17.24-24.57 and in the case of femeles it is $21.74 \pm 0.14$ with the meximum of 22.65 enc minimun of 18.46 . The difference between the two sexes is insignificant.

## Table No. 10 a

Classification of Relative Biacrovial Breadth Index

| ( $\mathrm{Acc}^{\text {ce }}$ to ruge on) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Classification <br> Range <br> ITO. |  |  |  |
| Narrow shoulers $X-22.0 \quad 71 \quad 53.38$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Hediu: shoulders | 22.1-23.0 | 47 | 35.33 |
| Bray shoulders | 23.1 - X | 15 | 11.27 |

## Table 1o. 1010 (Females 35)



The nale and fewale Kolan differs with one another in the shape of their shoulder. While the male Kolams have preforinently narrow shoulaers ( $53.20 \%$ )
the ferales have redowinantly reiun shoulders. The males are fourd to have 35.33\% of mediu? showlers ant the fermle have $40.00 \%$ narrow shoulaers. Drea shoulders occur in a Iow percentaise withnut any aprociable difference in broth tho sexes ( $11.27 \%$ was and $11 .+2 \%$ of fomas).

The mean biacromial breadtin in the case of the male is $35.05 \pm 0.21 \mathrm{Cms}$. with a maximum of 39.6 Cm . and a minimum of 20.3 Cms . and in the case of the females it is $32.49 \pm 0.33$ Cms. with a varying range between 28.0-35.4 Cms. The male Kcl ms appear to heve 2.56 Cns. more biacromial breadth than the females. Height Padiale: The mean raial height is $100.92 \pm 0.43 \mathrm{Cms}$. with maximum of 109.7 and minimun of 90.5 Cms . in the male and it is $92.24 \pm 0.69 \mathrm{Cms}$. With a maximum of 102.6 Cms . and minimum of 85.3 Cms . in the females.

Hoight Stvlion: The mean stylion height in the male is $75.50+0.32$ Cms. with a verving renge between 62.4-84.9 Cms. and in the case of the females it is $71.55 \pm 0.64$ Cms. with the range varying between 63.3-80.2 Cms.

Height Dactylion: The mean dactylionic height in the male is $57.42 \pm 0.38$ Cns. with a maximun of 68.20 Cms . and minimum of 48.70 Gms . and in the ceselfomales it is $54.4 \pm 0.63$ Cras. with the renge verying between $47.5-59.9 \mathrm{cms}$. Arm Span: The mean arm span of tho male Kol m is $170.46 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{Cms}$. With the rage vorying between 150.5 and 189.2 Cms. ond in the femole it is $156.12 \pm 1.11$ Cms. with the varying range oi 144. $\hat{r}_{r}-170.6$ Cms.

Total Arm Leneth Stature Index: The wean arm Iencth striture imer in the case of the males is $46.42+0.24$ with a varying range batwoon $42.01-51.57$ and in the cass of fompes it is $45 \cdot 32+0.36$ with the mance varyins betraen $40.20-40.0 \%$.

Table Ho. 20a
Total Arin Lerath Stature Index (Acc. to Prugesch) (ifales 133)

Classification
Short am
TE日ium ar:
Ions arra

| $X-44.0$ | 14 | 10.52 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $4.1-44.5$ | $\varepsilon$ | 6.01 |
| $4+6-X$ | 111 | 83.45 |

Table Mo. 203
(Pemales 35)
Classification

| Ifodiun ar | $x-43.5$ | 6 | 17.14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low | 43.641 .0 | 2 | 5.71 |
| ..... | 4.4 - X | 27 | 77.14 |

Both the wale and female Kolars agree with one another in having predominntiy iong arm ( 83.45 males and 77.14\% Pemales), s hort aris occur anong $10.25 \%$ of males and $17.14 \%$ of females. A swall percontage of ( $(0.01 \%)$ maies and (5.71) femiles are found to have rediun ams.

The mean total am lengh anong the males is $75.45 \pm 0.24$ ens with the range varying between (4.8-89.9 cms and anote the femles it is $67.92+0.69 \mathrm{cms}$ with varying rage betwein 59.7-76.4 cus. The malc arn appears to be 7.67 cus Ionger than the ferale.

The rear upper are Iength is 31.22 to. 22 cns with a varying range betweon $26.00-1+5.20$ cas anong the males and $20.05+0.38 \mathrm{cms}$ with a varying range between $21.0-48.4 \mathrm{cms}$ in the caso of the fernles.

The rean lowor arm length is $25.22 \pm 0.16$ ans with the rance varying betweon $16.10-46.00$ ons in the males an $20.52 \pm 0.31$ cas with naximut of 25.7 and minimu of 14.4 cas. The apper arm is rolatively loneor than the lower ar in both the mie and fomale Folans. The male upper and lower arms are loneer than the ferales.

## Total Ler Len th Stature Index:

The mean total leg length stature index in both the sexes is alnost aqual with $57.60 \pm 0.16$ amond males rangine between $53.89-61.76$ and with $57.12 \pm 0.37$ amons females ranging between 53.71-62.39.

Table No. 21 a
Total Les Length Stature Index:
(acc. to 3 H Usch) (Males 133)


All the Molars are long leased, saving $3.75 \%$ of the males and $6.57 \%$ of the fermis wo are medium le

The mean is f length among the males is 92.8 ? 0.39 caus with the range varying between $82.4-103.4 \mathrm{cms}$ and in the case of female it is $85.91 \pm 0.89$ cns with a vary ing range between $76.0-100.7$ chris. The male leg appears to be 6.06 aras longer than the female leg.

The roan upper lee length in the cere of the male kolans is $48.5 \pm 0.45$ are with the rance varying between 37.9 and 57.8 crus while among the females it is $46.15+0.0$ chs, with the mane wring between 36.50 and 59.70 cms .

The lower lea length in the milo Kolans is $38.63+0.28$ crus with a maximum of 46.5 ens an the miniarm of 27.10 ecus; while aron the females it is $24.54 \pm 0.45$ caus with a varyires rance between $29.0-4.2 \mathrm{cms}$. The upper leg length is relatively loner than the low der lem length in both the rale and female samples. In conformal the Volans have loner le zs than arms.

## Height IIiQspinale:

The height ilio-spinale in the case of males is $96.20+0.45 \mathrm{cws}$ with the range varying between $84.4-108.0$ cues and in tho forages it is $87.02 \pm 0.03$ cns with the maximum of 100.4 cree and minimum of 78.6 chis.

## Hei ht Tifisiale:

The wean height tibicle arong the wale is $44.20 \pm 0.30$ cns, with the $2 a x i m$ of 52.3 cos nen minimum? of 37.0 cas ard arong the fenales it is $39.52 \pm 0.4) \mathrm{cns}$ with a varyine rane between $33.8-46.3$ cms. Weinht Spherion: The nean heisht spherion among the nales is $5.57 \pm 0.04$ cas with the range lying in between $3.80-7.30$ cus and in the case of the fenales it is $5.26 \pm$ 0.08 cus with the ronce IJing in between $4.20-6.80 \mathrm{chis}$. Fet Folf Thickness at Iriceos:

The mean fat folu thickness at triceps in the males is $0.42 \pm 0.02$ crs with the rane varyine betweon 1.0 and 0.3 cms ent in the fenelos it is $0.72 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{cms}$ with the ronge varying between $0.4-1.8$ cus. The wide vartio in both the sexes indicate widesprod malnutrition and depletion of boar fat.

Weint:
The mean weight of thdnale Kolam is $47.03+0.3$ Kes with the range varying between $40.55 \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and in the case of fernle it is $37.5+0.6 \mathrm{Kss}$ with the rance vary betroon $31.5-47.00 \mathrm{Kiss}$. The mean ponderal index for tho nales is $22.42 \pm 0.09$ ond for the remeles it is $22.48 \pm 0.2$ inficatine that tho Kolans have low body buill.

## MORPROTOGTCAT ORERMTATIONS:

The mornolocical observations on the kolams are resenten below:

Skin Color:
Table lic. 22
Skin color.


The color varies fron light brom to black. Majority beine dank brom ( $60.15 \%$ amone males and ( $37.14 \%$ ) among fomies, black and brom skins ardiol ro predomirant amone the fomales.

Hair : The froquency of hair for is as follows
Table 710.23
Fair Form


The hair for in both the male matiole Kolams is usually straight to wavy. Straight he in cocurs anonb $37.59 \%$ of the males and $45.71 \%$ of the females, while low waves occur in $46.02 \%$ of the males and $37.14 \%$ of the ferales Deep waves occur in $9.02 \%$ of the males and $14.20 \%$ of the ferales. The rale Kolaus appear to hove rolatively more low waves in contrast to the relatively foro straight hair a"on the feales. Curly hair appears in a very smal percentrefe( $(0.01)$ ancot the olos and $2.8(\%$ a.ong the ferales. No cases of frizzly hair are abserved but, $0.75 \%$ of wooly hair is ojsorved among thotrale Kolans only.

The frequency of hair texture anong Kolans is presented in table 17o. 24

## Hair Tezure

Table iro. 24


The hair texture is prodorinatly mean with a frequency of 6.65 anone the males and $74.28 \%$ mong the femalos. Coarse hair occurs in $31.50 \%$ of the males and $25.71 \%$ of the females. Finc hair is observed in $6.77 \%$ of the wales orly.

The frequency of hair quantity is shown beinw.
Table nic. 25
IIar quantity


Scanty
Moaite
72

| 12.60 | 3 | 8.57 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $5+.13$ | 20 | 57.14 |

Thicis . . . $36 \ldots 27.06 \ldots 12 \ldots 31.28$
Quantitatively a majonity of boththe male (54.13\%) and ferale ( $57.14 \%$ ) Kolams have nedium hair 3istrimtion. Thick haired poople are $27.0 \%$ awong tho mies and $34.28, \%$ arom the femlus. Scanty hainel pockle are $18.00 \%$ arong the rales and 8.5 . whon the fempes. The relatively higher percontace of wo scenty harot poople anong the males is Due to a hither incilance of maleness amone tho malos.

The distribution of bir on versus writs of the woy anouf the ale kolon is shom olow.

Prole 50.26
Iriron kar an unstrece.
(Males 133)


Tavze 6.26 a
Qur hor
(Mhlos 133)


The actrinticn ownir on varias verts of tho voly revals in the erren and ousache heir is excl sivciy seaty snvi". 7. 51 , of the alo s.n Je. The mair quantiby on chest, ir? an lo s is scenty without any arce tion.

## Qccioital hair whorls:

The distribution of occinital hair whorls shows ?arkedly hich percentage of sincle clockise pattern in both the male ( 6.16 ) and fenales (77.14\%) samples Single anticlockuse pattern is observed arons $20.30 \%$ of the wales and $14.2 \%$ of the forales. Double whorls are relatively few. Double clockwise whorls oceur in $8.27 \%$ of the rales and $5.71 \%$ of the fermes, while double anticlockwise pattern is observod in $2.20 \%$ of the males only. Double cloclrvise anticlockwise type occurs amng $2.26 \%$ of the rales and $2 . \mathbb{E} \%$ of the females. Multifle whorls occur in a s:mall percentage of 0.75 amon the nales and they are invariably of clockwise anticlocivise nattern.

Table Mo. 2,7

## Eronuoney of Occinital heir whorls

MaIe
Female



Double clockwise-3
2.2612 .86

Many clocirvise,
0.75
--
anticlock wise

The distrimetion of the Kolans on the basis of hair colow is presented in talle ro. \%8.

Table No. 28

## Hair Colour



The hair colour is predorinantly Iack in both the sexes (Moles 85.71\% and Forales 71.43\%). Dark brown hair occur arong $0.77 \%$ of the ales and $5.71 \%$ of the ferales. Reddish Drcin (11.43\%) and Gray hair ( $8.57 \%$ ) are also imortant awne the ferales. Other types in both the sexes occur in a small percentage.
Etes::- The distributionof different types of emes colo a. ©ng Kolaws is as follows.

Table ito. 29
Eye CoIour


On the basis of classification of Iris, the Kolams fall into the followine categories by frequency distributi

Tande io. 30
Iris

 black eves are next in order. There is a small element of brom eyes also. The iris is mostly homogenous with sone speckled and rayed types in both sexes.

## Table No. 31

## Sclere.

Males

| Males Fe |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Clear | 52 | 39.10 | 15 | 42.86 |
| Speckled | 65 | 48.87 | 19 | 54.28 |
| Yellow | 13 | 9.77 | 1 | 2.85 |
| Duli | 3 | 2.25 | -- |  |

The sclera is speckled in a rajority of the cases, probably due to vitanine 'A' deficiency.

Table 17o. 32
Bye Lid


The evelias are recloninantly straight ( $63.15 \%$ ) for males and (80.00\%) for fenales. Oblique eyes are found arong $32.33 \%$ of the males and $20.00 \%$ of the females. A small percontage of fissures orlids are found arong the nales only.

## Table INo. $3 \overline{3}$

Eve brows
Males
Females
Classification

| Thin | 36 | 27.07 | 15 | 42.86 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Thin slightly <br> connected | 4 | 3.01 | 2 | 5.71 |
| Thin arkedly <br> connected | - | - | - | - |
| Meaium | 49 | 36.84 | 10 | 28.57 |

Mediu: slightly connected

33
24.81
$17 \cdot 14$
Medium markedly con-
$\begin{array}{ccc}1.50 & - & - \\ 3.76 & 1 & 2.86\end{array}$
Thick
5
Thick slightly
conrectad
3
2.25

1
2.86

Thick markedly conincted $1 \quad 0 . \div$

The eyebrows are predoninantly of medium thickness amon the males( $63.15 \%$ ) while anong the females thin eyebrows are wre than rediun eyebrows. A small percentage of males $(8.2(\%)$ and females $(5.72 \%)$ have thick eyebrows.

The eyebrows are not comected in a majority of both the sexes. "codiun unconnected syebrows are $36.84 \%$ among the nales. The females exhibit the aximum percentage of $42.86 \%$ thin unconnected eychrows, while they are only $27.07 \%$
among the rales and medium conected eyebrows are $3.76 \%$ arong the nales and $2.86 \%$ arong the ferales. The cornected eyebrows are present ir the following order. Thin slightly connected $3.01 \%$ and $5.71 \%$ Medium slightly connected eyebrows are $24.81 \%$ and $17.14 \%$. Thick slightly connected eyebrows are $2.25 \%$ and $2.86 \%$ respectively for the nales and the females. Itarkedy connected eyebrows are present only anong the males. Supra Orbital Ridges:

Table No. 34
Supra Orbital Ridges


The supra orbital ridges are usually imperceptible.
A small percentage of nales and ferales axtibit the ridges in trace. A low percentage of males exhilft ( $4.5 \hat{i}$, moderate supra crbital ridges.
Nose: The frequency of Kolams by the shane of Nesal bridges is as follows.

## Table ITo. 35

## Ivasal Dridge

| Classification |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Straight $54 \quad 40.60$ 25.71 |  |  |  |  |
| Concave -45.33 .83 22.86 |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Corver } & 27 & 20.30\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Concavo-Convex | 7 | 5.26 |  |  |

The Eisal bridge is predominantly straight ( $40.60 \%$ ) among males and concave among the females ( $62.86 \%$ ). Concave noses among the males are (33.8. ) 。 Convex moses are found in $20.30 \%$ of the males and $11.42 \%$ of the fenales, while concave-Conver noses are found only among the males ( $5.26 \%$ ) .

Nasal Depression:


## Nasal Sentum:

## Taible No. 37

## Classification of Nasal Septum

| Classification | Males |  | Females |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | $\%$ | No. | 8 |
| Horizontal | 47 | 35.34 | 16 | 45.71 |
| Upwards | 24 | 18.05 | 10 | 28.57 |
| Down wards | 62 | 46.61 | 9 | 25.71 |

The nasal septum exhibits marked sexual dimorphism. While the males have $46.61 \%$ down-ward septum, the females have it among $25.71 \%$ only. Whare as the females have $45.71 \%$ of horizontal sentums, the males have it among $35.34 \%$ only. Upward septum was observed among $18.05 \%$ of the males and $28.57 \%$ of the females. Ifps: Table $\mathrm{No}, 38$

## Glassification if Lips

Classification

|  | les | Females |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | $\%$ | NO. | $\%$ |
| 31 | 23.31 | 13 | 37.14 |
| 31 | 23.31 | 10 | 28.57 |
| 5 | 3.76 | - | -- |
| 1 | 0.75 | -- |  |

Table No. 38 Contd...


The lips of the Kolams are mostly thin to redium and rarely thick. Thin ( $50.38 \%$ ) and redium ( 48.87 ) Iips occur alnost in equal percentages among the males, while among the fermales $65.71 \%$ have thin lips and $34.28 \%$ have medium lips. Thick lips occur only in a negligible percentage of 0.75 of the males only. A majority of the rales show slig eversion of their lips, while the eversion among the femal is relatively low.

Arnong the males $23.31 \%$ have thin lips without eversion, 0.75 石 thick lips without eversion and $3.76 \%$ medium lips without eversion, while among the females $37.14 \%$ have thin Iips without eversion and $14.28 \%$ medium Iips without eversion. The distribution of everted lips are thin, slightly everted $23.31 \%$ thin-rediun everted $3.76 \%$ among males and thin slightily everted 28. 勿 $7 \%$ : nediun slight of everted lips occur among the fermales in $31.58 \%$ of the males and $14.28 \%$ of the females, nedium
mediumily everted lips occur among $12.78 \%$ of the males and 5.72\% of the females. Thick markedly everted lips occur only among $0.75 \%$ of the males.

## Prognathism:

## Table No. 39

Classification of Prognathism

Classification


Alveolar slight

| 68 | 51.13 | 16 | 45.71 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 23 | 17.29 | 2 | 5.71 |
| 1 | 0.75 | - | - |
| 1 | 0.75 | - | - |
| 28 | 21.05 | 14 | 40.00 |

A majority of the male as well as female Kolams exhibit alveoler projnathism. Slight alveolar profnathism is observed among $51.13 \%$ of the males and $45.71 \%$ of the females; medium alveolar prognathism is observed among $17.29 \%$ of males an $5.71 \%$ of the females, while marked alveolar proznathism is observed among $9.02 \%$ of the males and $8.57 \%$ of the females. 4

Facial prognathism is completely absent among the females, while a few males exhibit slizht anl mefium pronathism with a percentace of 0.75 each.

The percentase of males without any proznathism are 21.05 , while among the females $40.00 \%$ have no projnathism. This reveals that the male Kolams exhibit relatively hizher percentaje of prognathism particularly alveolar prosnathism.

## Foren:eal:

Table No. 40
Classification of Fore He:l

Classification


| Market slope | 56 | 42.10 | 11 | 31.43 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Me tium slope | 41 | 30.83 | 11 | 31.43 |
| Straight | 3 | 36 | 27.07 | 13 |

The male Kolams have a markedly sloping fore head among $12.10 \%$; metium sloping fore heat among $30.83 \%$ ant strai弓ht foreheat among 27.07\%; while the females have $31.43 \%$ each of markerly slopins foreheat and medium sloping forehead, straight foreheads are more prefominant among the females with a percentage of $37.11 \%$.

## Chin:

## Table No. 41

## Chin



Among the fernale Kolams receedine chins are nore predouinant with $60.00 \%$, while nedium and prominent chins are-20.00\% each.

Arong the male Kolams receeddine and mediun chins are moro co..on rith $38.34 \%$ and $39.10 \%$ respipectively. Prominent chins occur arong $22.56 \%$ of them.
Chis Shape


The male Kolans have $45.86 \%$ square chins, $31.58 \%$ round chins and relatively lower percentage of pointed ( $16.54 \%$ ) and oval ( $6.01 \%$ ) chins, while the female Kolars have predoninantly round chins ( $62.86 \%$ ). Square chins are 11.42\% and pointed chins are 20.00\%. Oval chins are found arong a neglibible percentage of $5.71 \%$ only. Marked sexual diversity of predorinant round chins are observed among the females.

Ear:
Table No. 43
Ear Lobe
Nales
Classification - -

| Seperate small | 66 | 49.62 | 13 | 37.14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seperate Iarge | 25 | 18.80 | 6 | 17.14 |
| Attached small | 40 | 30.08 | 16 | 45.71 |
| Attached Iarge | 2 | 1.50 | - | - |

Seperate ear lobes are relatively high in both males ( $68.42 \%$ ) as well as ferales ( $54.28 \%$ ) of the sample. Among the nales $49.62 \%$ have seperate small ear lobes, $18.80 \%$ have separate large ear lobes while among the females $37.14 \%$ have seperate small ear lobes and $17.14 \%$ have seperate large ear lobes. Almost all attached ear lobes are small seving 1.50\% of the rales, who have attached large ear lobes.

## $9=3.0$ 1.0. 44

## Darwin's Tubercle.



Darwin's tubercle is observed on the ears of $12.03^{\%}$ of the males and $17.14 \%$ of the females.

## Hand Olasping Arim Folding, and Handedness:

The male and female samples do not show any marked diversity in hand olasping. 'int type hand dasp ing is more frequent in both sexes. (Males 69.93\%; females 74 ,29\%). However in atm folding marked sexial diversity was observed. While the males have the R. \& L types in nearly equal frequencies $\left(\mathrm{R} 51,1 \mathfrak{F}^{\prime} \mathrm{I} 48.8 \%\right.$ ) the females exhbit a very high frequency of $R$ type (77.148) and relatively lower frequency of $L$ type ( 22.868 )

Except a small percentage of males ( 3.76 knd females ( 8.57 ) all the Kolams are riotht handed. The relatively hither frequency of left handed individuals among females nay be due to the smali number covered.

## Thite NO. (6)

## C1assficioation of orm Poldinc



Table No. 45 (b)
Q1agsifiation of Hiand Clasping.

Filghit
Left ...................................251

## Table No. $45(\mathrm{C})$

Cl wsificationof Handedness


Mid.Phalangeal Hair:
The occurence of mil-phalangeal hair is low with 33 08\%among males and $25.71 \%$ armong the females. Table No. 46

Classirication of $\because i d-$ Phalanceal hair
Males
Ferales
C-

| Present | 44 | 33.08 | 9 | 25.71 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Absent | 89 | 66.91 | 26 | 74.28 |

Foot



In a majority of the Kolans the second toe is longer. than the great toe (Males $62.40 \%$, females $60.00 \%$ )

## Body Forri:

The Kolams have generally thin bodies. $53.55 \%$ of males and $71.43 \%$ of the ferales have asthenic body type. Athletic type of body is found among 42.10\% of the males and $20.00 \%$ of the fenales. Fatty co Pycnic type is very rare with a frequency of $4.51 \%$ arong males, and $3.57 \%$ among the females.

## Table No. 48

## Body Forr

## Males


Asthenic $\quad 71$

| 53.38 | 25 | 71.42 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 42.10 | 7 | 20.00 |
| 4.51 | 3 | 8.57 |

## Comparison with Karve and Dandekar's Measurenents:

In table No. 49, the 30 Kolams measured by Karve and Dandekar have been compared with the male sample of' the present study. Karve and Dandekar measured the Kolams from the neighbourhood of the city of Wun in Maharasitra; while the present data is collected from Adilabad district of Andhra Pradesh. Except for auricular height, stature, and maximum bizygomatic breadth where Karve's data shows slightly higher mean values, both the samples are very similar in their measurements. The lensth breadth, and Nasal indices do not show any appreciable differences, while length heisht and breadth neight indices, are more among the Karve's sample:. The Jughofrontri, jueo-mandibular, upper facial and Total facial indices are more in the present sample. The differences does not appear to be due to any physical diversity es the metrof followed in the two samples for the collection of the data is different. While Karve and Dandekar have taken the middle of the tragus as tragion point, the point/was taken in the present tre trus study. In the present study unper facial length and total facial length were measuren from nasion point, while Karve and Dandekar measured, them from Sellion point. Inspite of these differences and the sample covered by Karve and Dandekar the two samples show close similarity with each other. Hence the Kolams of the prosent study and the Kolams of Maharashtra may be troated

## the Kolams studied by Karve and Dandekar.

| Karve and <br> Dandekar | Present <br> study. |
| :---: | ---: |
| 1627.26 | 1612.6 |
| 139.46 | 120.1 |
| 182.40 | 132.7 |
| 133.36 | 137.8 |
| 102.30 | 104.8 |
| 133.10 | 121.5 |
| 101.40 | 102.3 |
| 44.16 | 45.9 |
| 38.16 | 39.5 |
| 60.33 | 62.1 |
| 108.96 | 106.6 |


| Karve and <br> Dandekar |  | Present <br> study |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 76.13 |  | 75.52 |
| 76.46 |  | 64.98 |
| 100.43 |  | 85.91 |
| 86.41 |  | 85.10 |
| 45.32 |  | 50.71 |
| 81.86 |  | 36.74 |
| 76.86 |  | 83.63 |
| 76.18 |  | 85.03 |

to gilong to the same stock.

COMPARISON WITH SOME PROTO-AUSTRALOID TRIBES OF INDIA AND SOME TRIBES AUVD CASTES OF ANDHRA PRADESH:

In table 51, the Stature, Cephalic index, Nasal index, and Facial index of the male sample of the present Kolam study has been listed along with some Pre-Dravidian tribes of India, and tribes and castes of Andhra Pradesh. It is evident from the table that the Kolams show close proximity to the Santal and Plain Maiقr in threo characters i.e. Stature, Cranial index in both the groups and Facial index with Santals and Nasal index with Plain Malor. They show close proximity in two characters, Stature and Facial index with Khonds; Stature and Nasal index with Nayadis, Cranial index and Nasal index with Saoras. The Kolams are nearer to the Ma木iga, Bhil, Gonds, and Munda with respect to Stature; to the Juang, Telugu Brahmins, Komatis, Kanikar, Kadar, and Hill Maler, with respect to Cephalic index; to the Saora and Hill Maler with respect to Nasal index; to the Malapantaram and Kannikar with respect to Facial index.

When the mean values of the above measurements and indices are subjected to the ' $t$ ' test for means, the Kolam did not show any significant differences with the Santals with reference to Stature, Cranial
index and Facial index; to the Plain Maler and Saora with reference to Cephalic index and Nasal index; to the Chenchus with reference to Cephalic index and Facial index; to the Bhil and Madiga with reference to Stature; to the Nayadi and Hill Maler with reference to Nasal index; to the Kadar, Malapantaram and Telugu Brahmins with reference to Facial index and to the Juang with reference to the Cephalic index.

## Taole No. 51

## COMPARISON BETUEEN KOLAMS \& OTHPR INDIAN TRIBES

MEAT STATRE SND INDICES (MALES)

| Popula- Author No. Stature Cephalic Nasal Facial |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tion. | index index index |



Santal Chattorjee B.K. $100 \quad 160.478 \quad 75.09 \quad 78.51 \quad 85.83$ Kumar G.D. 1952.

Bhil Bhargava I. \& . $100 \quad 160.090 \quad 76.98 \quad 76.48 \quad 88.37$ Kher G.A. 1960.

Khond Ray G.S. 1949 100 159.360 73.57 77.13 86.13
Nayadi. Aiyappan A. $1937 \quad 62 \quad 159.74 \quad 73.73 \quad 85.68 \quad 82.10$
Gonds Majumrar D.N. . 50 I58.74 .-- 1940.
Maler Sarkar S.S. $1936 \quad 54 \quad 158.18 \quad 74.65 \quad 84.62 \quad 84.61$

| Munतa | Basu P.C. 1933 | 250 | 158.152 | 74.34 | -- | 84.90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Munda | - - - (Unpublished) | 215 | -- | -- | 83.29 | -- |
| Gadaba | Majumizar D.N. 1941. | 52 | 158.040 | -- | -- | -- |

Juang Ray A.Ǩ. 1958. $160 \quad 157.57 \quad 75.29 \quad 89.96 \quad 81.34$

$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Hill Sarkar S.S. } 1936 & 189 & 156.64 & 74.54 & 84.30 & 83.74\end{array}$
Maler
Kadar Ray G.S.etal $42 \quad 155.75 \quad 74.32 \quad 83.19 \quad 87.71$


Pantaram Kumar G.D. 1957

| Urali " | 1956 | 195 | 154.452 | 72.09 | 76.23 | 110.99 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kannikar il | 1952 | 140 | 153.173 | 74.26 | 80.11 | 85.35 |

Tabke 51 centd....



## Teble No. 52

## 't' FidLUES BETMENN KOLAMS AND OTGR INDINV POPULATIONS.

FOR MEAN STGTURE (HELES)


[^0]Table iTo． 52 contc̃．．．．
CEPHALIC HDEX（MATES）

| Malapantaram | 37 | 77.09 | 0.60 | ＊2．37 | Chetterjee B．K． Kumer GoD。1957． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bhil | 100 | 76.98 | 0.35 | ＊．3． 26 | Bhergava I．\＆ Kher G．A． 1960. |
| Juang | 116 | 75.29. | 0.36 | 0.50 | Ray buotir 1958. |
| Sante I | 100 | 75.09 | 0.31 | 0.03 | Chatterjee BoK。 \＆ <br> Kunier G。D． 1952. |
| Maler Plain | $54^{\circ}$ | 74.65 | 0.29 | 0.35 | Sarkar S．S． 1936 <br> （Unpublished） |
| Saore | 100 | 74.50 | 0.28 | 1.80 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ma jundar D oH. } \\ & 1950 \text {. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Hill MaIer | 189 | 74.54 | 0.13 | ＊3．17 | Serker S．s． 1936 <br> （Unpublished） |
| Munca | 250 | 74.34 | 0.12 | ＊3．87 | Basu Poc． 1933 <br> （Unpublished） |
| Kader | 42 | 74.32 | 0.51 | ＊2．06 | Rey Goso etel 1959． |
| Kannikar | 工道 | 74.26 | 0.31 | ＊3．02 | Chattrjee B．K． \＆ Kurer G．D． 1952 |
| Nayedi | 62 | ． 73.73 | 0.23 | ＊4．94 | Giyappan A． 1937 |
| Khond | 100 | 73.57 | 0.18 | ＊5．86 | Rey Goinol949 |
| Uralj | 125 | ． 72.09 | 0.22 | ＊9．63 | Chatterjee Bo \＆ |

Lndhra Pradesh：

| Telugu Brahrin | 50 | 74.39 | 0.34 | $* 2.57$ | Guha B．S．1933 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Kometis | X | 74.27 | 0.34 | $* 2.84$ | －do－ |
| Cherchus | 23 | 72.89 | 0.53 | $* 4.36$ | －do－ |
| Lambadi | 102 | 78.25 | 0.36 | $* 5.78$ | Besub．1962 |
| Tediga | 102 | 77.36 | 0.30 | $* 4.48$ | －do－ |
|  | 1960. |  |  |  |  |

＊Sigrificent at $5 \%$ level of probebility．

Table IV. 52 contct
HASGL IIDEX (HAISS)

| MASGL IDDTX (HETSS) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1937$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sacre | 100 | 85.20 | 0.47 | 0.17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Majumcter D. Th } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ |
| Maler, Plain | 54 | 84.62 | 0.63 | $0.67$ | Gerker sos. 1936(UnpubIished) |
| Hill Maler | $18 \%$ | 84.30 | 0.43 | 1. 4.3 | -co- |
| Munce. | 215 | 83.20 | 0.30 | *3.86 | Basu D.C. 1933 <br> (Unoublisheci) |
| Keder | 42 | 83.19 | 0.86 | *2.05 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ray Gow: etal } \\ & \text { I959 : } \end{aligned}$ |
| Kenmixar | 140 : | 30.311 | 0.65 | * 6.72 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chatterjee E.K. } \\ & 8 \text { Kinnar Go.D. } \\ & 1952 . \end{aligned}$ |
| Santa | 100 | 78.51 | 0.27 | * 14.6 .4 | - CO |
| Samua | 100 | 77.13 | 0.53 | *12. 44 | Ray GoSol949 |
|  | 100 | 76.48 | 0.94 | *8.57 | Bhergeva I。號 |
| Bri |  |  |  |  | Kher G.A.1960 |
| Ureii | 125 | 76.23 | 0.82 | *9.91 | Chatterjee B.K. - \& Kuner GoD. 1956 |
|  | . 37 | 71.96 | 0.9 .5 | *12.93 | -do- 1957 |
| Andhra Preclesh | 50 | 73.70 | 0.63 | * 14.86 | Guhe B.S. 1933 |
| Telusu Eretmin | X | 74.93 | 0.64 | *13.85 | -c.o- |
| Fonatis | 23 | 81.38 | 0.95 | *3.66 | - O |
| Cherchor <br> Lambải | 102 | 70.88 | 0.70 | *18.06 | Cupta P. \& Besuadin62. |
|  | Madige 10273.751 .07 . $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 73.75 | 1.07 | *10.05 | -do- 1960. |
| Madige |  | $\begin{array}{r} -\cdots- \\ * \text { Sigl } \end{array}$ | ificar | - - - | - - - |

Table Io． 52 contc．．．．FACIEL MTDEX（MALES）

| Ureli | 125 | 110.99 | 0.61 | ＊ 30.25 | Chetterjee B．K．\＆ Kumer G．D． 1956 ． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Saore | 100 | 95.30 | 0.40 | ＊13．04 | Mejumdar D．IT．1930 |
| Bhil | 100 | ： 88.37 | 0.47 | ＊2．33 | Bhergeve I．\＆ <br> Kher G。A． 1960. |
| Kadar | 42 | 87.71 | 0.58 | 1.25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rey G.S. etel } \\ & 1959 . \end{aligned}$ |
| Malapantaram | 37 | 86.28 | 0.85 | 0.46 | Chatterjee B．K．\＆ Kumar G © ． 1957 ． |
| Khond | 100 | 86.13 | 0.40 | 0.93 | Rey G SS． 1949 |
| Siental | 100 | ＇85．83 | 0.37 | 1.43 | Chetterjee B．K。\＆ <br> Kumar GoD．IC52 |
| Kannikar | 140 | 85.35 | 0.45 | ＊2．02 | －do－ |
| li uncia | 250 | 84.90 | 0.19 | ＊3．32 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Basu } P_{o} C . \\ & 1932-35 \end{aligned}$ |
| Maler Plain | 54 | 84.61 | 0.48 | ＊3．01 | Serke r S os． 1936 |
| Maller Iill | 189 | 83.74 | 0.22 | ＊5．31 | －io－ |
| Nayedi | 62 | 82.10 | 0.39 | ＊ 7.14 | Aivpppan A． 1931 |
| Juang | 160 | 31.34 | 0.46 | ＊ 7.78 | Ray A．K． 1958. |

Mindra Pradesh

| Telugu Brahmin | 50 | 87.30 | 0.37 | 0.88 | \＆uha B．S． 1933 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chenchus | 23 | 85.26 | 0.61 | 1.85. | －co－ |
| Lembecii | 102 | ．84．38 | 0.52 | ＊2． 53 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gupte P\& } \\ & \text { Besu PoC. 1s62. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Meぐige | 102 | 82.97 | 0.45 | ＊5．48 | －do－ 1966. |

[^1]
## Summary:

The Kolam are short to medium in stature, with low sitting height, dolichocephalic to mesocephalic heads, mediuin head length, very nerrow head breadth, low auricular height, hypsicephalic, hcrocephalic, below medium to medium minimum frontral breadth, Euryprosopic to Leptoprosopic faces, mesene type of morphological superior facial index, very low facial height, very broan jugo-frontal index, very narrow bizyeomatic arches, broad to very broad jugomandibular: index, very broad to medium noses, narrow to medium shoulders, long arms and long less.

Their skin is dark brown to black in colour; hair is straicht to low waved, medium to coarse in texture, medum in quantity, and black in colour, beard, body and chest hair is very scanty. The eyes are dark brom to li ht brown in colour, with homozeneous iris, clear or speckioc sclera, straight or oblique eyelias, and moium eyebrows. Their supra-orbital riages are mostly imperceptible. Their nasal briतge is predominantly coreave, sometines straieht, nasion depression is shallow, septum is horizontel, ant very broad. Their foreheads are metium to markelly slopine, low in height and narrow in breath. They exhibit slight alveolar prognathism.
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[^0]:    * Significant.

[^1]:    ＊Gignificent．

